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Abstract

In this paper we consider how to use the convolution method to construct approximations, which consist of fuzzy numbers sequences with good properties, for a general fuzzy number. It shows that this convolution method can generate differentiable approximations in finite steps for fuzzy numbers which have finite non-differentiable points. In the previous work, this convolution method only can be used to construct differentiable approximations for continuous fuzzy numbers whose possible non-differentiable points are the two endpoints of 1-cut. The constructing of smoothers is a key step in the construction process of approximations. It further points out that, if appropriately choose the smoothers, then one can use the convolution method to provide approximations which are differentiable, Lipschitz and preserve the core at the same time.
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1 Instructions

The approximations of fuzzy numbers attract many people’s attention. Mostly, the researches can be grouped into two classes. One class is to use a given shape fuzzy number to approximate the original fuzzy number. There exist many important works which include but not limited to the following. Chanas [5] and Grzegorzewski [13] independently presented the interval approximations. Ma
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et al. [19] presented the symmetric triangular approximations. Abbasbandy and Asady [1] presented the trapezoidal approximations. Grzegorzewski and Mrówka [14, 15] presented the trapezoidal approximations preserving the expected interval. Zeng and Li [28] presented the weighted triangular approximations. Nasibov and Peker [20] presented the semi-trapezoidal approximations which is improved by Ban [2, 3]. Yeh [24] presented the weighted semi-trapezoidal approximations. Yeh and Chu [25] presented a unified method to solve the LR-type approximation problems without constraints according to the weighted $L_2$-metric. Coroianu [9] discussed how to find the best Lipschitz constant of the trapezoidal approximation operator preserving the value and ambiguity. Ban and Coroianu [4] proposed simpler methods to compute the parametric approximation of a fuzzy number preserving some important characteristics. The works of this class of fuzzy numbers approximation provide various methods to approximate an arbitrary fuzzy number according to some metrics by a special type of fuzzy number which is much more convenient to be calculated. At the same time, since it finds the fuzzy number which has the minimal distance to the original fuzzy number among all the given type fuzzy numbers, it minimizes the loss of the information to a certain extent.

But there exist many situations in which the smaller the distance between approximated fuzzy number and original fuzzy number becomes, the better the effect appears. So it is also important to consider the problem whether one can approximate a fuzzy number arbitrary well by fuzzy numbers with some good properties such as continuous, differentiable, etc. This is the topic of another class of researches for fuzzy number approximation which discuss how to construct a fuzzy numbers sequence with some properties to approximate a general fuzzy number. There also exist many important contributions including the following works. Colling and Kloeden [7] used the continuous fuzzy numbers sequence to approximate an arbitrary fuzzy number. Coroianu et al. [8] constructed approximations which is made up of fuzzy numbers sequence by using the max-product Bernstein operators. Román-Flores et al. [21] pointed out a fact that the Lipschitzian fuzzy numbers sequences can approximate any fuzzy numbers. To demonstrate this fact, they presented a method based on the convolution of two fuzzy numbers to construct approximations for fuzzy numbers. For writing convenience, we call this method convolution method in the sequel. This convolution method traces back to the work of Seeger and Volle [22].

Differentiable fuzzy numbers play an important role in the implementation of fuzzy intelligent systems and their applications (see [6, 16]). For instance, to use the well-known gradient descent algorithm, it needs the fuzzy numbers be differentiable. So it is an important and vital question that whether one can use the differentiable fuzzy numbers sequence to approximate a general fuzzy number. Chalco-Cano et al. [26, 27] used the convolution method to construct differentiable fuzzy numbers sequences to approximate a type of
non-differentiable fuzzy numbers under suprema n metric. Since the convergence induced by supremum metric is stronger than the convergences induced by $L_p$-metric, sendograph metric, endograph metric, and level-convergence (see \[10, 17, 18, 23\]), it follows that the constructed approximation is also an approximation for the original fuzzy number under the above mentioned convergences. This method is easy to be implemented since its operation is on level-cut-sets of the fuzzy numbers. In the sequel, a differentiable fuzzy number is also called a smooth fuzzy number, a non-differentiable fuzzy number is called a non-smooth fuzzy numbers, and an approximation which consists of differentiable fuzzy numbers sequence is called a smooth approximation.

To construct smooth approximations for a type of non-smooth fuzzy numbers, Chalco-Cano et al. \[26, 27\] showed an interesting fact that the convolution transform can be used to smooth this type of fuzzy numbers, i.e. it can transfer a non-smooth fuzzy number in this type to a smooth fuzzy number. In fact, they constructed a class of ‘smoothers’ which are fuzzy numbers satisfying some conditions. Given a non-smooth fuzzy number of this type, it can obtain a smooth fuzzy number via convolution of the original fuzzy number and the smoother. The construction of smoothers is an important step in the construction of approximations. The distance between the smooth fuzzy number and the original fuzzy number can be controlled by the smoother. Thus, by appropriately choosing the smoother, it can get a smooth fuzzy number such that the distance between which and the original fuzzy number is less than an arbitrarily small positive number given in advance. So it can produce a sequence of smooth fuzzy numbers which constitute a smooth approximation of the original fuzzy numbers.

However, in the previous work, only a given type of fuzzy numbers can be smoothed by the convolution method. Hence only this type of fuzzy numbers can be smoothly approximated by using the convolution method. This type of fuzzy numbers have at most two possible non-differentiable points which are the endpoints of 1-cut. Whereas, an arbitrary fuzzy number may have other non-differentiable points, or even non-continuous points. So it is natural to consider the question whether one can use the convolution method to smooth a general fuzzy number and then the question whether one can give a smooth approximation to the original fuzzy number.

In this paper, we want to answer these questions. For this purpose, we first discuss the properties of fuzzy numbers and convolution of fuzzy numbers. Based on these discussions, we give partial positive answers to above questions. The key is how to construct smoothers for a general fuzzy number so that it can be smoothed. We do this step by step. It first shows how to construct smoothers for a subtype of continuous fuzzy numbers. Then it investigates how to construct smoothers for the continuous fuzzy numbers. At last, it explores how to construct smoothers for an arbitrary fuzzy number so that it can be trans-
formed into a smooth fuzzy number. On the basis of above results, it shows that how to construct smooth approximations for fuzzy numbers which have finite non-differentiable points. This type of fuzzy numbers are quite general in real world applications. It further finds that, by appropriately choosing the smoothers, the smooth approximations can be Lipschitz approximations and can preserve the core at the same time. We give simulation examples to validate and to illustrate the theoretical results.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents preliminaries about fuzzy numbers and the convolution method for approximating fuzzy numbers. Section 3 gives properties on the continuity of fuzzy numbers. In Section 4, it shows that the convolution transform can keep the differentiability of fuzzy numbers which is the key property to ensure that the convolution method can be used to smooth fuzzy numbers. On the basis of the results in Sections 3 and 4, it discusses how to smooth and approximate a general fuzzy number in Section 5. In Section 6, it investigates advantages of constructing approximations by the convolution method. In Section 7, we draw conclusions.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Fuzzy numbers

In this subsection, we introduce some basic and important notations and properties about fuzzy numbers which will be used in the sequel. For details, we refer the reader to references [10, 23].

Let \( \mathbb{N} \) be the set of all natural numbers, \( \mathbb{R} \) be the set of all real numbers. A fuzzy subsets \( u \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) can be seen as a mapping from \( \mathbb{R} \) to \([0, 1]\). For \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \), let \( [u]_\alpha \) denote the \( \alpha \)-cut of \( u \); i.e., \( [u]_\alpha \equiv \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) \geq \alpha \} \) and \( [u]_0 \) denotes \( \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : u(x) > 0 \} \). We call \( u \) a fuzzy number if \( u \) has the following properties:

(i) \( [u]_1 \neq \emptyset \); and
(ii) \( [u]_\alpha = [u^- (\alpha), u^+ (\alpha)] \) are compact intervals of \( \mathbb{R} \) for all \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

The set of all fuzzy numbers is denoted by \( \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \). In [26], a fuzzy number is also called a fuzzy interval.

Suppose that \( u \) is a fuzzy number. The 1-cut of \( u \) is also called the core of \( u \), which is denoted by \( \text{Core}(u) \), i.e. \( \text{Core}(u) = [u]_1 \). \( u \) is said to be Lipschitz if \( u \) is a Lipschitz function on \( [u]_0 \), i.e. \( |u(x) - u(y)| \leq K |x - y| \) for all \( x, y \in [u]_0 \), where \( K \) is a constant which is called the Lipschitz contant.

The following is a widely used representation theorem of fuzzy numbers.
Proposition 2.1 (Goetschel and Voxman [12]) Given \( u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \), then

(i) \( u^-(\cdot) \) is a left-continuous nondecreasing bounded function on \((0, 1]\);
(ii) \( u^+(\cdot) \) is a left-continuous nonincreasing bounded function on \([0, 1[\); 
(iii) \( u^-(\cdot) \) and \( u^+(\cdot) \) are right continuous at \( \alpha = 0 \);
(iv) \( u^-(1) \leq u^+(1) \).

Moreover, if the pair of functions \( a(\lambda) \) and \( b(\lambda) \) satisfy conditions (i) through (iv), then there exists a unique \( u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( [u]_{\alpha} = [a(\lambda), b(\lambda)] \) for each \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \).

From the definition of fuzzy numbers, we know that, given \( x < u^-(1) \), then \( u(x) = \lim_{z \to x^+} u(z) \), i.e. \( u \) is right-continuous at \( x < u^-(1) \). Similarly, \( u(x) = \lim_{z \to x^-} u(z) \) for each \( x > u^+(1) \), i.e., \( u \) is left-continuous at each \( x > u^+(1) \).

The algebraic operations on \( \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \) are defined as follows: given \( u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}), \alpha \in [0, 1] \),

\[
\begin{align*}
[u + v]_{\alpha} &= [u]_{\alpha} + [v]_{\alpha} = [u^-\alpha + v^-\alpha, u^+\alpha + v^+\alpha], \\
[u - v]_{\alpha} &= [u]_{\alpha} - [v]_{\alpha} = [u^-\alpha - v^+\alpha, u^+\alpha - v^-\alpha], \\
[u \cdot v]_{\alpha} &= [u]_{\alpha} \cdot [v]_{\alpha} = \min\{xy : x \in [u]_{\alpha}, y \in [v]_{\alpha}\}, \max\{xy : x \in [u]_{\alpha}, y \in [v]_{\alpha}\}.
\end{align*}
\]

From (1), we know that if \( r \) is a real number and \( v \) is a fuzzy number, then

\[
(r \cdot v)(t) = \begin{cases} v(t/r), & r \neq 0, \\ \chi_{\{0\}}(t), & r = 0, \end{cases}
\]

where \( \chi_{\{0\}} \) is the characterization of \( \{0\} \).

Suppose that \( u \) is a fuzzy number. Its strong-\( \alpha \)-cuts \( [u]_{\alpha}^s, \alpha \in [0, 1] \), are defined by:

\[
[u]^s_{\alpha} = \left[ u^-s\alpha, u^+s\alpha \right] = \begin{cases} \cap_{\beta > \alpha} [u]^s_{\beta} = \left[ \lim_{\beta \to \alpha^+} u^-\beta, \lim_{\beta \to \alpha^+} u^+\beta \right], & \alpha < 1, \\
[u]_{1}^s = [u^-(1), u^+(1)], & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}
\]

Clearly, \( [u]_1 = [u]^s_1 \), \( [u]_0 = [u]^s_0 \), and \( [u]_{\alpha}^s \subseteq [u]_{\alpha} \) for all \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \). It is easy to show that

\[
\begin{align*}
[u + v]^s_{\alpha} &= [u]^s_{\alpha} + [v]^s_{\alpha} = [u^s\alpha + v^s\alpha, u^+_s\alpha + v^+_s\alpha], \\
[u - v]^s_{\alpha} &= [u]^s_{\alpha} - [v]^s_{\alpha} = [u^-s\alpha - v^+_s\alpha, u^+_s\alpha - v^-s\alpha].
\end{align*}
\]

We call \( u^-\cdot \), \( u^+\cdot \), \( u^s\cdot \), \( u^+_s\cdot \) cut-functions. The \( \alpha \)-cut and strong-\( \alpha \)-cut are also called level-cut-set or strong-level-cut-set, respectively.
The supremum metric on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by
\[
d_{\infty}(u, v) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \max\{|u^-(\alpha) - v^-(\alpha)|, |u^+(\alpha) - v^+(\alpha)|\},
\]
where $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

### 2.2 Convolution method for approximating fuzzy numbers

In this subsection, it introduces the method based on the convolution transform to approximate a fuzzy number. This convolution method is first putted forward by Román-Flores [21], and traces back to the work of Seeger and Volle [22]. Chalco-Cano et al. [26, 27] gave important contributions to this method. They used this convolution method to produce smooth approximations for a class of non-smooth fuzzy numbers.

The sup-min convolution $u \nabla v$ of fuzzy numbers $u$ and $v$ is defined by
\[
(u \nabla v)(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \{u(y) \wedge v(x - y)\}.
\]

**Remark 2.2** 
In fact $u \nabla v = u + v$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. For details, see [10, 23].

The following is some symbols which are used to denote subsets of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

- $\mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R})$ is denoted the family of all fuzzy numbers $u$ such that $u$ is strictly increasing on $[u^-(0), u^-(1)]$, strictly decreasing on $[u^+(1), u^+(0)]$, and differentiable on $(u^-(0), u^-(1)) \cup (u^+(1), u^+(0))$.
- $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R})$ is denoted the family of all fuzzy numbers $u$ such that $u$ is differentiable on $(u^-(0), u^-(1)) \cup (u^+(1), u^+(0))$.
- $\mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$ is denoted the family of all continuous fuzzy numbers, i.e., the family of all fuzzy numbers $u$ such that $u : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is continuous on $(u^-(0), u^+(0))$.
- $\mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$ is denoted the family of all differentiable fuzzy numbers, i.e., the family of all fuzzy numbers $u$ such that $u : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ is differentiable on $(u^-(0), u^+(0))$.

Given a fuzzy number $u$ in $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R})$, $u$ need not be strictly increasing on $(u^-(0), u^-(1))$ and strictly decreasing on $(u^+(1), u^+(0))$. So
\[
\mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}).
\]

Observe that, for each $v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, $v$ is differentiable on $(v^-(1), v^+(1))$. Thus, for every $u \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R})$, its possible non-differentiable points in $(u^-(0), u^+(0))$
are $u^-(1)$ and $u^+(1)$. It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}).$$

Clearly, given $u \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, if $x \in [u]_1$ and $x$ is an inner point of $[u]_0$, then $u'(x) = 0$. We also call $u \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$ a smooth fuzzy number.

Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, then $v$ is said to be a smoother of $u$ if $u \nabla v \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$.

Chalco-Cano et al. [26] pointed out that each fuzzy number in $\mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated by a smooth fuzzy numbers sequence which is constructed by using the convolution method.

They constructed fuzzy numbers $w_p$, $p > 0$, as follows:

$$w_p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)^2, & \text{if } x \in [-p, p], \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin [-p, p]. \end{cases}$$

(2)

Obviously, $w_p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p > 0$. They presented the following result.

**Proposition 2.3** [26] If $u \in \mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R})$, then $u \nabla w_p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$.

Notice that $d_{\infty}(u, u \nabla w_p) \to 0$ as $p \to 0$. Thus Proposition 2.3 indicates that every fuzzy number in $\mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated by fuzzy numbers sequences contained in $\mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$.

We can see that the fuzzy numbers $w_p$, $p > 0$, work as smoothers, which transfer each fuzzy number $u$ to a smooth fuzzy number $u \nabla w_p$. The smooth fuzzy numbers sequence $\{u \nabla w_p\}$ construct a smooth approximation of the original fuzzy number $u$, i.e., $u \nabla w_p \to u$ as $p \to 0$.

Chalco-Cano et al. [27] further presented an approach to produce a more large class of smoothers. A class of fuzzy numbers $Z^f_p$ are defined by

$$Z^f_p(x) = \begin{cases} f^{-1}(\|x\|/p), & \|x\| \leq p, \\ 0, & \|x\| > p, \end{cases}$$

(3)

where $p > 0$ is a real number and that $f : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ is a continuous and strictly decreasing function with $f(0) = 1$, $f(1) = 0$. It is easy to see that $Z^f_p = w_p$ when $f = \sqrt{1 - t}$. They gave the following result.

**Proposition 2.4** [27] Suppose that $Z^f_p$ is defined by (3). If $f$ is differentiable and $\lim_{\alpha \to 1^-} f'(\alpha) = -\infty$, then $u \nabla Z^f_p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$ for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R})$.

Notice that $d_{\infty}(u \nabla Z^f_p, u) \to 0$ as $p \to 0$. This means that given $f$ satisfies
the above conditions, it produces a class of smoothers \( \{ Z^f_p : p > 0 \} \) and a
smooth approximation \( \{ u \nabla Z^f_p : p > 0 \} \) of the fuzzy number \( u \). Different \( f \)
corresponds to different class of smoothers and then corresponds to different
smooth approximation.

3 Properties of fuzzy numbers

In this section, we investigate some properties on the continuity of fuzzy num-
bers which will be used in the sequel.

It first discusses the values of membership functions of fuzzy numbers at points
which are or are not the endpoints of the cut-sets, respectively. Based on
this, it then gives some characterizations of continuous points and continuous
intervals of fuzzy numbers. At last, it considers the properties of continuous
points of the cut-functions of fuzzy numbers.

Now, we give a lemma in the following.

**Lemma 3.1** Suppose that \( u \in F(\mathbb{R}) \) and that \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), then the following state-
ments hold.

(i) If \( u^{-}(1) \geq x > u^{-}_s(\alpha) \), then \( u(x) > \alpha \).

(ii) If \( u^{+}(1) \leq x < u^{+}_s(\alpha) \), then \( u(x) > \alpha \).

(iii) If \( x < u^{-}_s(\alpha) \) or \( x > u^{+}_s(\alpha) \), then \( u(x) \leq \alpha \).

**Proof** (i) If \( u^{-}(1) \geq x > u^{-}_s(\alpha) \), then there exists some \( \beta > \alpha \) such that
\( u^{-}(1) \geq x \geq u^{-}_s(\beta) \). This implies that \( u(x) \geq \beta > \alpha \).

(ii) can be proved similarly as (i).

(iii) If \( x < u^{-}_s(\alpha) \), then \( x < u^{-}(\alpha + \varepsilon) \) for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \), this implies that
\( u(x) \leq \alpha \). Similarly we can prove that if \( x > u^{+}_s(\alpha) \), then \( u(x) \leq \alpha \).

The following theorem gives the values of fuzzy number \( u \) at the points which
are not the endpoints of \( \alpha \)-cut sets and strong-\( \alpha \)-cut sets.

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose that \( u \in F(\mathbb{R}) \) and that \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), then the following state-
ments hold.

(i) If \( u^{-}(\alpha) < u^{-}_s(\alpha) \), then \( u(x) = \alpha \) when \( x \in (u^{-}(\alpha), u^{-}_s(\alpha)) \).

(ii) If \( u^{+}(\alpha) > u^{+}_s(\alpha) \), then \( u(x) = \alpha \) when \( x \in (u^{+}_s(\alpha), u^{+}(\alpha)) \).

**Proof** (i) Given \( x \in (u^{-}(\alpha), u^{-}_s(\alpha)) \), then \( u^{-}(1) \geq x \geq u^{-}(\alpha) \), thus \( u(x) \geq \alpha \).
On the other hand, since \( x < u^{-}_s(\alpha) \), it follows from Lemma 3.1 (iii) that
\( u(x) \leq \alpha \). So we have \( u(x) = \alpha \).
The following theorem considers the values of fuzzy number $u$ at the endpoints of $\alpha$-cut sets or strong-$\alpha$-cut sets.

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and that $x \in (u^-(0), u^+(0))$. If $u$ is continuous at $x$, and if $x$ equals to $u^-(\alpha)$ or $u^+(\alpha)$ or $u^-_\alpha(\alpha)$ or $u^+_\alpha(\alpha)$. Then $u(x) = \alpha$.

**Proof** Suppose that $x = u^-(\alpha)$, then obviously $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. By Lemma 3.1, we know that $u(y) < \alpha$ for all $y < x$ and $u(z) \geq \alpha$ for all $z > x$, thus $u(x) = \alpha$ from the continuity of $u$ at $x$. 

Similarly we can prove that $u(x) = \alpha$ when $x$ equals $u^+(\alpha)$, $u^-_\alpha(\alpha)$ or $u^+_\alpha(\alpha)$.

**Corollary 3.4** If $u \in \mathcal{F}_c(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
u(u^-(\alpha)) &= u(u^-_\alpha(\alpha)) = \alpha, \\
v(u^+(\beta)) &= u(u^+_\alpha(\beta)) = \beta
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\alpha \geq u(u^-(0))$ and $\beta \geq u(u^+(0))$.

**Proof** The desired result follows immediately from Theorem 3.3. \(\square\)

The following lemmas and theorems discuss the characterizations of continuous points of a fuzzy number.

**Lemma 3.5** Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, then the following statements hold.

(i) Given $x \in (u^-(0), u^+(1)]$, then $u$ is left-continuous at $x$ if and only if $u^-(\beta) < x$ for each $\beta < u(x)$.

(ii) Given $x \in [u^-(1), u^+(0))$, then $u$ is right-continuous at $x$ if and only if $u^+(\beta) > x$ for each $\beta < u(x)$.

**Proof** (i) We prove by contradiction. Set $u(x) = \alpha$. Necessity. If there exists $\beta < \alpha$ such that $u^-(\beta) = x$, then $\lim_{z \to x^-} u(z) \leq \beta < \alpha = u(x)$. This means that $u$ is not left-continuous at $x$. Sufficiency. If $u$ is not left-continuous at $x$, i.e. $\alpha = u(x) > \lim_{z \to x^-} u(z) =: \gamma$, put $\beta := \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2}$, then $\beta < \alpha$, but $u^-(\beta) = x$.

(ii) It can be proved similarly as statement (i). \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.6** Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Set $u(u^-(0)) = \alpha_0$ and $u(u^-(0)) = \beta_0$. Then the following statements hold.

(i) Given $u^-(\alpha) \in (u^-(0), u^-(1))$, then $u$ is continuous at $u^-(\alpha)$ if and only if $u(u^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$, and $u^-(\beta) < u^-(\alpha)$ for each $\beta < \alpha$.

(ii) Given $u^-_\alpha(\alpha) \in (u^-(0), u^-(1))$, then $u$ is continuous at $u^-_\alpha(\alpha)$ if and only if $u(u^-_\alpha(\alpha)) = \alpha$, and $u^-(\beta) < u^-_\alpha(\alpha)$ for each $\beta < \alpha$.
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(iii) Given $u^+(\alpha) \in (u^+(1), u^+(0))$, then $u$ is continuous at $u^+(\alpha)$ if and only if $u(u^+(\alpha)) = \alpha$, and $u^+(\beta) > u^+(\alpha)$ for each $\beta < \alpha$.

(iv) Given $u^+_s(\alpha) \in (u^+(1), u^+(0))$, then $u$ is continuous at $u^+_s(\alpha)$ if and only if $u(u^+_s(\alpha)) = \alpha$, and $u^+(\beta) > u^+_s(\alpha)$ for each $\beta < \alpha$.

Proof The required conclusions follow from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.5.

The following lemmas and theorems investigate the characterizations of continuous intervals of a fuzzy number.

Lemma 3.7 Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Given $a, b \in [u^-(0), u^-(1)]$ with $a < b$, then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) There exists $x$ in $(a, b]$ such that $u$ is not left-continuous at $x$.

(ii) There exists $\alpha, \beta$ in $[u(a), u(b)]$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $u^-(\alpha) = u^-(\beta)$.

(iii) $u^-(\cdot)$ is not strictly increasing on $[u(a), u(b)]$.

Proof If statement (i) holds, then there exists $x \in (a, b]$ such that $u$ is not left-continuous at $x$. Hence $\alpha = u(x) > \lim_{z \to x-} u(z) = \beta$, and thus $u^-(\alpha) = u^-(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}) = x$. Note that $\alpha \neq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [u(a), u(b)]$. So statement (ii) holds.

If statement (ii) holds, then there exist $\alpha > \beta$ such that $u^-(\alpha) = u^-(\beta) = x$ and $\alpha, \beta \in [u(a), u(b)]$. So $\lim_{z \to x-} u(z) \leq \beta < \alpha \leq u(x)$. This means that $u$ is not left-continuous at $x \in (a, b]$, i.e., statement (i) holds.

The equivalence of statement (ii) and statement (iii) follows immediately from the monotone of $u^-(\cdot)$.

Lemma 3.8 Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Given $c, d \in [u^+(1), u^+(0)]$ with $c < d$, then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) There exists $x$ in $[c, d)$ such that $u$ is not right-continuous at $x$.

(ii) There exists $\alpha, \beta$ in $[u(c), u(d)]$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $u^+(\alpha) = u^+(\beta)$.

(iii) $u^+(\cdot)$ is not strictly decreasing on $[u(c), u(d)]$.

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.1.

Theorem 3.9 Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $u \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied.

(i) $u^-(\alpha) \neq u^-(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in [u(u^-(0)), 1]$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

(ii) $u^+(\alpha) \neq u^+(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in [u(u^+(0)), 1]$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Proof If $[u]_0$ is a singleton, the conclusion holds obviously. If $[u]_0$ is not a singleton, the desired results follow from Lemmas 6.1 and 3.8.

Theorem 3.10 Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and that $u(u^-(0)) = \alpha_0$ and $u(u^+(0)) = \beta_0$.
Lemma 3.11 Suppose that $\beta_0$. Then $u \in F_C(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $u^-(\cdot)$ is strictly increasing on $[\alpha_0, 1]$ and $u^+(\cdot)$ is strictly decreasing on $[\beta_0, 1]$.

Proof Note that $[u(u^-(0)), u(u^-(1))] = [\alpha_0, 1]$ and $[u(u^+(1)), u(u^+(0))] = [\beta_0, 1]$. The desired result follows from Lemmas 6.1 and 3.8. □

Lemma 3.11 Suppose that $u, v \in F(\mathbb{R})$ and that $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) = u(u^-(\alpha)) \land v(v^-(\alpha)),$$

$$(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^+(\alpha)) = u(u^+(\alpha)) \land v(v^+(\alpha)).$$

Proof From Zadeh’s extension principle, we know that

$$(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) = \sup\{u(x) \land v(y) : x + y = (u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) = u^-(\alpha) + v^-(\alpha)\}$$

$$\geq u(u^-(\alpha)) \land v(v^-(\alpha))$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

On the other hand, given $x + y = u^-(\alpha) + v^-(\alpha)$, if $x > u^-(\alpha)$, then $y < v^-(\alpha)$, hence $v(y) \leq \alpha$, and thus $u(x) \land v(y) \leq \alpha \leq u(u^-(\alpha)) \land v(v^-(\alpha))$. Similarly we can show that if $x < u^-(\alpha)$, then $u(x) \land v(y) \leq \alpha \leq u(u^-(\alpha)) \land v(v^-(\alpha))$. So we know that

$$(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) = u(u^-(\alpha)) \land v(v^-(\alpha)).$$

In the same way, we can prove that

$$(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^+(\alpha)) = u(u^+(\alpha)) \land v(v^+(\alpha)).$$

□

Theorem 3.12 Let $u \in F_C(\mathbb{R})$ and let $v \in F(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $u(u^-(0)) = \alpha_0$ and $u(u^+(0)) = \beta_0$, then $u \nabla v$ is continuous on $((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha_0), (u \nabla v)^+(\beta_0))$.

Proof Since $u(u^-(0)) = \alpha_0$ and $u(u^+(0)) = \beta_0$, we know that $u^-(0) = u^-(\alpha_0)$ and $u^+(0) = u^+(\beta_0)$. Thus, by Lemma 3.11,

$$(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha_0)) = u(u^-(\alpha_0)) \land v(v^-(\alpha_0)) = \alpha_0,$$

$$(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^+(\beta_0)) = u(u^+(\beta_0)) \land v(v^+(\beta_0)) = \beta_0.$$
and hence \((u \nabla v)^-(\cdot)\) is strictly increasing on \([\alpha_0, 1]\) and \((u \nabla v)^+ (\cdot)\) is strictly decreasing on \([\beta_0, 1]\), it then follows from Lemmas 6.1 and 3.8 that \(u \nabla v\) is continuous on
\[
((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha_0), (u \nabla v)^-(1)] \cup [(u \nabla v)^+(1), (u \nabla v)^+(\beta_0))
\]
Observe that \(u \nabla v\) is continuous on
\[
((u \nabla v)^-(1), (u \nabla v)^+(1)),
\]
so the required conclusion follows. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.13** Suppose that \(u \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\) and that \(v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})\). If \(u\) satisfies that \(u(u^-(0)) \leq v(v^-(0)) \) and \(u(u^+(0)) \leq v(v^+(0))\), then \(u \nabla v \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\).

**Proof** From Lemma 3.11, we know that
\[
(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(0)) = u(u^-(0)),
\]
\[
(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^+(0)) = u(u^+(0)).
\]
So, by Theorem 3.12, we know that \(u \nabla v\) is continuous on \((u \nabla v)^-(0), (u \nabla v)^+(0))\), i.e. \(u \nabla v \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\). \(\square\)

The following theorem considers the properties of continuous points of cut-functions.

**Theorem 3.14** Suppose that \(u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})\), then the following statements hold.

(i) \(u^- (\cdot)\) is continuous at \(\alpha\), if and only if, \(u^- (\alpha) = u^-_s (\alpha)\).

(ii) \(u^+ (\cdot)\) is continuous at \(\alpha\), if and only if, \(u^+ (\alpha) = u^+_s (\alpha)\).

**Proof** From Proposition 2.1, we know that \(u^- (\cdot)\) is discontinuous at \(\alpha\), if and only if, \(u^- (\cdot)\) is not right continuous at \(\alpha\), i.e. \(u^- (\alpha) < \lim_{\beta \to \alpha^+} u^- (\beta) = u^-_s (\alpha)\).
So statement (i) is true. Statement (ii) can be proved similarly. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.15** The assumption that \(u \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\), even the stronger assumption that \(u \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})\), cannot imply that \(u^- (\cdot)\) and \(u^+ (\cdot)\) are continuous on \([0, 1]\).
Also, the assumption that \(u^- (\cdot)\) and \(u^+ (\cdot)\) are continuous on \([0, 1]\) cannot imply that \(u \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\).

### 4 Properties of convolution of fuzzy numbers

In this section, we investigate some properties of convolution of two fuzzy numbers. It finds that the convolution transform can keep the differentiability of fuzzy numbers. This is the key property which ensures that the convolution method can be used to smooth and to approximate fuzzy numbers.
The following theorem shows that convolution transform can retain the differentiability when the derivative is zero. The symbols \( f'_{-}(-) \) and \( f'_{+}(\cdot) \) are used to denote the left derivative and the right derivative of \( f \), respectively.

**Theorem 4.1** Let \( u,v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \), and let \( \alpha \in [0,1] \), then the following statements hold.

(i) If \( v'_{-}(v^{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{-}((u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \).

(ii) If \( v'_{+}(v^{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \), and \( v(v^{-}(\alpha)) = \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{+}((u \nabla v)^{-}(\beta)) = 0 \).

(iii) If \( v'_{-}(v^{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{-}((u \nabla v)^{s}_{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \).

(iv) If \( v'_{+}(v^{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \), and \( v(v^{-}(\alpha)) = \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{+}((u \nabla v)^{s}_{-}(\beta)) = 0 \).

(v) If \( v'_{+}(v^{+}(\alpha)) = 0 \), and \( v(v^{+}(\alpha)) = \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{+}((u \nabla v)^{+}(\beta)) = 0 \).

(vi) If \( v'_{+}(v^{+}(\alpha)) = 0 \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{+}((u \nabla v)^{+}(\alpha)) = 0 \).

(vii) If \( v'_{-}(v^{+}(\alpha)) = 0 \), and \( v(v^{+}(\alpha)) = \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{-}((u \nabla v)^{+}_{s}(\beta)) = 0 \).

(viii) If \( v'_{+}(v^{+}(\alpha)) = 0 \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_{+}((u \nabla v)^{+}_{s}(\alpha)) = 0 \).

**Proof** We only prove statements (i) and (ii). The remainder statements (iii) - (viii) can be proved in the same way.

Set \( v(v^{-}(0)) = \alpha_{0} \) and \( v(v^{+}(0)) = \beta_{0} \), then, by Lemma 3.11,

\[
(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{-}(0)) \leq \alpha_{0}, \quad (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{+}(0)) \leq \beta_{0}, \\
(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{-}(0)) = \alpha_{0}, \quad (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{+}(0)) = \beta_{0}.
\]

(i) If \( \alpha = \alpha_{0} \), it then follows from \( v'_{-}(v^{-}(\alpha_{0})) = 0 \) that \( \alpha_{0} = 0 \), i.e. \( v(v^{-}(0)) = 0 \). Hence \( (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{-}(0)) = 0 \), and thus \( (u \nabla v)'_{-}((u \nabla v)^{-}(0)) = 0 \).

If \( \alpha > \alpha_{0} \), then by \( v'_{-}(v^{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \), we know that \( v \) is left-continuous at \( v^{-}(\alpha) \). So, by Lemma 3.1, \( v(v^{-}(\alpha)) = \alpha \) and hence, by Lemma 3.11, \( (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)) = \alpha \).

Now we prove that \( (u \nabla v)'_{-}((u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)) = 0 \). Note that

\[
(u \nabla v)'_{-}((u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)) = \lim_{z \to (u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)} \frac{(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)) - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha) - z}.
\]

Given \( z \in ((u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha_{0}),(u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)) \), obviously

\[
\frac{(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha)) - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha) - z} \geq 0.
\]

On the other hand, set \( (u \nabla v)(z) = \alpha - \delta \), where \( \delta > 0 \), then by Lemma 3.1,

\[
z \leq (u \nabla v)^{s}_{-}(\alpha - \delta),
\]
and thus

\[
\frac{(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - z} \leq \frac{\alpha - (\alpha - \delta)}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^-_s(\alpha - \delta)} = \frac{u^-(-\alpha) - u^-_s(\alpha - \delta) + v^-(-\alpha) - v^-_s(\alpha - \delta)}{\delta} \leq \frac{\delta}{v^-(-\alpha) - v^-_s(\alpha - \delta)}. \tag{5}
\]

By Lemma 3.1, it holds that

\[
\lim_{x \to v^-_s(\alpha - \delta) -} v(y) \leq \alpha - \delta,
\]

and therefore

\[
\lim_{x \to v^-_s(\alpha - \delta) -} \frac{v(v^-(-\alpha)) - v(x)}{v^-(-\alpha) - x} \geq \frac{\alpha - (\alpha - \delta)}{v^-(-\alpha) - v^-_s(\alpha - \delta)} = \frac{\delta}{v^-(-\alpha) - v^-_s(\alpha - \delta)}. \tag{6}
\]

Given \(\varepsilon > 0\), since \(v'_-(v^-(-\alpha)) = 0\), there is a \(\xi(\varepsilon) > 0\) such that for all \(y \in (v^-(-\alpha) - \xi, v^-(-\alpha))\), it holds that

\[
\frac{v(v^-(-\alpha)) - v(y)}{v^-(-\alpha) - y} = \frac{\alpha - v(y)}{v^-(-\alpha) - y} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{7}
\]

Hence, by (7), if \(v^-(-\alpha) - v^-_s(\alpha - \delta) < \xi\), then

\[
\lim_{x \to v^-_s(\alpha - \delta) -} \frac{v(v^-(-\alpha)) - v(x)}{v^-(-\alpha) - x} \leq \varepsilon, \tag{8}
\]

and thus, combined with (6) and (8), we have

\[
\frac{\delta}{v^-(-\alpha) - v^-_s(\alpha - \delta)} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{9}
\]

So if \((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - z < \xi\), then \(v^-(-\alpha) - v^-_s(\alpha - \delta) < (u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^-_s(\alpha - \delta) < (u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - z < \xi\), hence, by (5) and (9), we get that

\[
\frac{(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - z} < \varepsilon,
\]

from the arbitrariness of \(\varepsilon > 0\) and (4), we have

\[
(u \nabla v)'_-(((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) = 0.
\]

So statement (i) holds.
(ii) Since \( v(v^-(\alpha)) = \beta \), we have \( \beta \geq \alpha \), and \( v^-(\alpha) = v^-(\beta) \). This implies that \( (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) = \beta \).

Now we show that \( (u \nabla v)'_+(u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) = 0 \) when \( v'_+(v^-(\alpha)) = 0 \). If \( (u \nabla v)^-(\beta) < (u \nabla v)'_-(\beta) \), then it follows immediately that \( (u \nabla v)'_+(u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) = 0 \).

In the following, we suppose that \( (u \nabla v)^-(\beta) = (u \nabla v)'_-(\beta) \), then \( u^-(\beta) = u'_-(\beta) \) and \( v^-(\beta) = v'_-(\beta) \). Note that

\[
(u \nabla v)'_+(u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) = \lim_{z \to (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)+} \frac{(u \nabla v)(z) - (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\beta))}{z - (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)}.
\]

The proof is divided into two cases.

Case (A) \( \beta < 1 \).

In this case, obviously,

\[
\lim_{z \to (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)+} \frac{(u \nabla v)(z) - (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\beta))}{z - (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)} \geq 0. \tag{10}
\]

Given \( z \in ((u \nabla v)^-(\beta), (u \nabla v)^-(1)) \), set \( (u \nabla v)(z) = \beta + \delta \), where \( \delta > 0 \), then by Lemma 3.1,

\[
z \geq (u \nabla v)^-(\beta + \delta),
\]

and thus

\[
\frac{(u \nabla v)(z) - (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\beta))}{z - (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)} \leq \frac{(u \nabla v)(z) - \beta}{(u \nabla v)^-(\beta + \delta) - (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)} = \frac{u^-(\beta + \delta) - u^-(\beta) + v^-(\beta + \delta) - v^-(\beta)}{\delta} \leq \frac{v(v^-(\beta + \delta)) - v(v^-(\beta))}{v^-(\beta + \delta) - v^-(\beta)}. \tag{11}
\]

Let \( z \to (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)+ \), then \( \delta \to 0^+ \). It thus follows from (11) that

\[
\limsup_{z \to (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)+} \frac{(u \nabla v)(z) - (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\beta))}{z - (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)} \leq \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{v(v^-(\beta + \delta)) - v(v^-(\beta))}{v^-(\beta + \delta) - v^-(\beta)} = v'_+(v^-(\beta)).
\]
and then, combined with (10), we know
\[(u \nabla v)'_+((u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) = 0.\]

Case (B) \(\beta = 1.\)

In this case, if \((u \nabla v)^-(1) < (u \nabla v)^+(1),\) then \((u \nabla v)'_+((u \nabla v)^-(1)) = 0.\) If \((u \nabla v)^-(1) = (u \nabla v)^+(1),\) then \(u^{-}(1) = u^{+}(1)\) and \(v^{-}(1) = v^{+}(1).\) So,
\[(u \nabla v)'_+((u \nabla v)^-(1)) = (u \nabla v)'_+((u \nabla v)^+(1)) = \lim_{z \to (u \nabla v)^+(1)+} \frac{(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^+(1)) - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^+(1) - z}.
\]

It is easy to see that
\[
\limsup_{z \to (u \nabla v)^+(1)+} \frac{(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^+(1)) - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^+(1) - z} = \limsup_{z \to (u \nabla v)^+(1)+} \frac{1 - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^+(1) - z} \leq 0. 
\]

On the other hand, given \(\varepsilon > 0,\) note that \(v'_+(v^+(1)) = v'_-(v^-(1)) = 0,\) so there is a \(\xi > 0\) such that for each \(y \in (v^+(1), v^+(1) + \xi),\)
\[
\frac{1 - v(y)}{v^+(1) - y} \geq -\varepsilon,
\]
proceed as in statement (i), we can get that if \(z - (u \nabla v)^+(1) < \xi,\) then
\[
\frac{1 - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^+(1) - z} \geq -\varepsilon,
\]
and hence from the arbitrariness of \(\varepsilon,\) we know
\[
\liminf_{z \to (u \nabla v)^+(1)+} \frac{(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^+(1)) - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^+(1) - z} = \liminf_{z \to (u \nabla v)^+(1)+} \frac{1 - (u \nabla v)(z)}{(u \nabla v)^+(1) - z} \geq 0. 
\]

Combined with (12) and (13), we obtain that
\[(u \nabla v)'_+((u \nabla v)^+(1)) = 0.\]

So statement (ii) holds. \(\Box\)

The following lemmas and corollary show that the derivatives of a fuzzy number can be computed by calculations which are determined by its values at the endpoints of \(\alpha\)-cuts and strong-\(\alpha\)-cuts.

**Lemma 4.2** Let \(u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}).\) Set \(u(u^-(0)) = \alpha_0\) and \(u(u^+(0)) = \beta_0.\) Then the following statements hold.
(i) Given \( \alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1] \), then \( u'_-(u^-(\alpha)) = \varphi \) if and only if

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^-(\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^- s(\gamma)} = \varphi.
\]

(ii) Given \( \beta \in (\beta_0, 1] \), then \( u'_+(u^+(\beta)) = \psi \) if and only if

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \beta^-} \frac{u(u^+(\beta)) - \lambda}{u^+(\beta) - u^+(\lambda)} = \lim_{\lambda \to \beta^-} \frac{u(u^+(\beta)) - \lambda}{u^+(\beta) - u^+ s(\lambda)} = \psi.
\]

Proof

(i) Sufficiency. Suppose that

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^-(\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^- s(\gamma)} = \varphi,
\]

we show that

\[
u'_-(u^-(\alpha)) = \lim_{z \to u^- (\alpha)^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - u(z)}{u^- (\alpha) - z} = \varphi.
\]

In fact, given \( z \in (u^- (0), u^- (\alpha)) \), suppose that \( u(z) = \gamma \), then \( \gamma < \alpha \), and, by Lemma 3.1,

\[u^- (\gamma) \leq z \leq u^- s(\gamma),\]

hence

\[
\frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^- (\gamma)} \leq \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - u(z)}{u^- (\alpha) - z} \leq \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^- (\alpha) - u^- s(\gamma)},
\]

note that if \( \gamma \to \alpha^- \), then \( z \to u^- (\alpha)^- \), and thus

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^- (\gamma)} = \lim_{z \to u^- (\alpha)^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - u(z)}{u^- (\alpha) - z} = \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^- (\alpha) - u^- s(\gamma)} = \varphi,
\]

i.e.

\[u'_-(u^-(\alpha)) = \varphi.
\]

Necessity. Suppose that \( u'_-(u^-(\alpha)) = \varphi \), we prove that

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^- (\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^- (\alpha) - u^- s(\gamma)} = \varphi.
\]

Now we use a trick which also is used in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), since \( u'_-(u^-(\alpha)) = \varphi \), there is a \( \xi > 0 \), such that for all \( y \in (u^- (\alpha) - \xi, u^- (\alpha)) \),

\[
\varphi - \varepsilon \leq \frac{u(u^-(\alpha)) - u(y)}{u^- (\alpha) - y} \leq \varphi + \varepsilon.
\]
notice that, for each \( \beta \),
\[
\lim_{x \to u^{-}(\beta)^{-}} u(x) \leq \beta \leq u(u^{-}(\beta)),
\]
and hence if \( 0 < u^{-}(\alpha) - u^{-}(\gamma) < \xi \), then
\[
\varphi + \varepsilon \geq \lim_{x \to u^{-}(\gamma)^{-}} \frac{u(u^{-}(\alpha)) - u(x)}{u^{-}(\alpha) - x} \geq \frac{u(u^{-}(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^{-}(\alpha) - u^{-}(\gamma)} \geq \frac{u(u^{-}(\alpha)) - u(u^{-}(\gamma))}{u^{-}(\alpha) - u^{-}(\gamma)} \geq \varphi - \varepsilon,
\]
now let \( \gamma \to \alpha^- \), we obtain that
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^{-}(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^{-}(\alpha) - u^{-}(\gamma)} = \varphi = u'_-(u^{-}(\alpha)).
\]
Similarly, we can prove that
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u(u^{-}(\alpha)) - \gamma}{u^{-}(\alpha) - u'_s(\gamma)} = \varphi = u'_-(u^{-}(\alpha)).
\]

(ii) Since \( u'_+(u^+(\beta)) = \psi \), we know that if \( \lambda \to \beta^- \), then \( u^+(\lambda) \to u^+(\beta)^+ \).
The remainder proof is similarly to the proof of statement (i). \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.3** Let \( u \in F(\mathbb{R}) \). Set \( u(u^{-}(0)) = \alpha_0 \) and \( u(u^+(0)) = \beta_0 \). Then the following statements hold.

(i) Given \( \alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1] \), then \( u'_-(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \varphi \) if and only if \( u(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \alpha \), and
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{u^{-}(\alpha) - u^{-}(\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{u^{-}(\alpha) - u'_s(\gamma)} = \varphi.
\]

(ii) Given \( \beta \in (\beta_0, 1] \), then \( u'_+(u^+(\beta)) = \psi \) if and only if \( u(u^+(\beta)) = \beta \), and
\[
\lim_{\lambda \to \beta^-} \frac{\beta - \lambda}{u^+(\beta) - u^+(\lambda)} = \lim_{\lambda \to \beta^-} \frac{\beta - \lambda}{u^+(\beta) - u'_s(\lambda)} = \psi.
\]

**Proof** Note that if \( u'_-(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \varphi \), then \( u \) is left-continuous at \( u^{-}(\alpha) \), and then
\[
\lim_{x \to u^{-}(\alpha)^{-}} u(x) = u(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \alpha.
\]
Similarly, if \( u'_+(u^+(\beta)) = \psi \), then
\[
\lim_{x \to u^+(\beta)^+} u(x) = u(u^+(\beta)) = \beta.
\]
So the desired results follow immediately from Lemma 4.2. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.4** Let \( u \in F(\mathbb{R}) \). Then the following statements hold.

(i) Given \( u^{-}(\alpha) \in [u^{-}(0), u^{-}(1)) \), set \( u(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \rho \), then \( u'_+(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \phi \) is
equivalent to
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \rho^+} \frac{\rho - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) - u^-} = \lim_{\gamma \to \rho^+} \frac{\rho - \gamma}{u^- - u^-(\gamma)} = \phi.
\]

(ii) Given \( u^+ (\beta) \in (u^+(1), u^+(0)] \), set \( u(u^+(\beta)) = \sigma \), then \( u'_-(u^+(\beta)) = \omega \) is equivalent to
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \sigma^+} \frac{\sigma - \gamma}{u^+(\beta) - u^+(\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \sigma^+} \frac{\sigma - \gamma}{u^+(\beta) - u^+(\gamma)} = \omega.
\]

Proof (i) Since \( u(u^-) = \rho \), we know that \( u^- = u^- (\rho) \) and \( \rho < 1 \). The proof is divided into two cases.

Case (A) \( u^- (\alpha) < u^- (\alpha) \).

In this case, \( u'_+(u^-(\alpha)) = 0 \), and
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \rho^+} \frac{\rho - \gamma}{u^- - u^-} = \lim_{\gamma \to \rho^+} \frac{\rho - \gamma}{u^- - u^-} = 0, \]
so the statement holds.

Case (B) \( u^- (\alpha) = u^- (\alpha) \).

In this cases, \( u^- (\gamma) \rightarrow u^- (\alpha) + \) as \( \gamma \rightarrow \rho^+ \). The remainder proof is similar to the proof of statement (i) in Lemma 4.2.

(ii) The proof is similar to statement (i). ∎

On the basis of above results, the following theorem shows that the differentiability at the left-endpoints of level-cut-sets still holds after convolution transform. Furthermore, it gives the corresponding derivatives.

**Theorem 4.5** Let \( u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \), and let \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), then
(i) If \( u'_-(u^- (\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \) and \( v'_-(v^- (\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_-(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1} \).
(ii) If \( u'_+(u^- (\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \) and \( v'_+(v^- (\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), and \( u(u^- (\alpha)) = v(v^- (\alpha)) = \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_+(u \nabla v)^-(\beta) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1} \).
(iii) If \( u'_+(u^- (\alpha)) = \varphi \), \( u(u^- (\alpha)) = \beta \), and \( v(v^- (\alpha)) = \gamma = \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_+(u \nabla v)^-(\beta) = \varphi \).
(iv) If \( u'_-(u^- (\alpha)) = \varphi \), and \( \lim_{y \to v^- (\alpha)} v(y) = \lambda < \alpha \), then \( (u \nabla v)'_-(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) = \varphi \).

Proof (i) Set \( \alpha_0 = u(u^- (0)) \) and \( \alpha_1 = v(v^- (0)) \). Since \( u'_-(u^- (\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \)
and \( v'_-(v^-(\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), we know that \( \alpha > \max\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\} \), and

\[
\lim_{x \to u^-(\alpha)^-} u(x) = u(u^-(\alpha)) = \alpha,
\]

\[
\lim_{y \to v^-(\alpha)^-} v(y) = v(v^-(\alpha)) = \alpha,
\]

\[
(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) = \alpha,
\]

(14)

thus \( \alpha > (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(0)) = \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\} \). To prove \( (u \nabla v)'_-((u \nabla v)^-(\alpha)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1} \), by Corollary 4.3 and (14), we only need to show that

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^-(\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^s(\gamma)} = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}
\]

In fact,

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^-(\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{u^-(\alpha) + v^-(\alpha) - (u^-\gamma + v^-\gamma)}
\]

\[
= \lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{1}{\frac{u^-\gamma - u^-\gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} + \frac{v^-\gamma - v^-\gamma}{\alpha - \gamma}}.
\]

(15)

Since \( u'_-(u^-(\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \) and \( v'_-(v^-(\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), by Corollary 4.3, we have

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{u^-(\alpha) - u^-\gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} = \varphi^{-1},
\]

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{v^-(\alpha) - v^-\gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} = \psi^{-1},
\]

and thus, combined with (15), we get

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^-(\gamma)} = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}.
\]

Similarly, we can obtain

\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \alpha^-} \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^s(\gamma)} = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}.
\]

So statement (i) is proved.

(ii) Since \( u(u^-(\alpha)) = v(v^-(\alpha)) = \beta \), we know that \( u^-(\alpha) = u^-(\beta), v^-\alpha) = v^-\beta \), and then

\[
(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) = \beta.
\]

Note that \( u'_+(u^-(\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \) and \( v'_+(v^-\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), so \( u^-(\alpha) < u^-(1) \) and \( u^-\alpha) < v^-\alpha) \), and thus

\[ \alpha \leq \beta < 1. \]
To prove that
\[(u \nabla v)'_+((u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1},\]
by Lemma 4.4, we only need to show that
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \beta^+} \frac{\beta - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\beta) - (u \nabla v)^-(\gamma)} = \lim_{\gamma \to \beta^+} \frac{\beta - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\beta) - (u \nabla v)^-(\gamma)} = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}.
\]
In fact, proceed as in the proof of statement (i), we obtain
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \beta^+} \frac{\beta - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\beta) - (u \nabla v)^-(\gamma)} = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}.
\]
Similarly, we can get
\[
\lim_{\gamma \to \beta^+} \frac{\beta - \gamma}{(u \nabla v)^-(\beta) - (u \nabla v)^-(\gamma)} = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}.
\]
So statement (ii) is proved.

(iii) Since \(u(u^-(\alpha)) = \beta\), and \(v(v^-(\alpha)) = \gamma > \beta\), we know that \(\alpha \leq \beta < \gamma\), and
\[
\begin{align*}
    u^-(\alpha) &= u^-((\beta)) < u^-((1)), \\
    v^-(\alpha) &= v^-((\gamma)), \\
    (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(\beta)) &= \beta < 1.
\end{align*}
\]
Note that \(v^-((\lambda)) = v^-((\alpha))\) for all \(\lambda \in [\alpha, \gamma]\), hence
\[
(u \nabla v)^-(\rho) = u^-((\rho)) + v^-((\rho)) = u^-((\rho)) + v^-((\beta))
\]
for each \(\rho \in [\beta, \gamma]\), and thus
\[
\lim_{\theta \to \beta^+} \frac{\beta - \theta}{(u \nabla v)^-(\beta) - (u \nabla v)^-(\theta)}
= \lim_{\theta \to \beta^+} \frac{\beta - \theta}{u^-((\beta)) + v^-((\beta)) - (u^-((\theta)) + v^-((\beta)))}
= \lim_{\theta \to \beta^+} \frac{\beta - \theta}{u^-((\beta)) - u^-((\theta))}
= u'_+(u^-((\beta))) = \varphi.
\]
Similarly, from $v_{s}^{-}(\lambda) = v^{-}(\alpha)$ for all $\lambda \in [\alpha, \gamma)$, we get

$$(u \nabla v)^{-}_{s}(\rho) = u_{s}^{-}(\rho) + v_{s}^{-}(\rho) = u_{s}^{-}(\rho) + v^{-}(\beta)$$

for each $\rho \in [\beta, \gamma)$, and thus

$$\lim_{\theta \to \beta^{+}} \frac{\beta - \theta}{(u \nabla v)^{-}_{s}(\beta) - (u \nabla v)^{-}_{s}(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \to \beta^{+}} \frac{\beta - \theta}{u^{-}(\beta) + v^{-}(\beta) - (u_{s}^{-}(\theta) + v^{-}(\beta))} = \lim_{\theta \to \beta^{+}} \frac{\beta - \theta}{u^{-}(\beta) - u_{s}^{-}(\theta)} = u'_{-}(u^{-}(\beta)) = \varphi. \quad (17)$$

Now it follows from Lemma 4.4, (16) and (17) that $(u \nabla v)'_{+}((u \nabla v)^{-}(\beta)) = \varphi$.

(iv) We assert that $u^{-}(\alpha) > u^{-}(0)$. On the contrary, if $u^{-}(\alpha) = u^{-}(0)$, then from $u'_{-}(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \varphi$, we know $u(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \alpha = u(u^{-}(0)) = 0$. Note that $\lim_{y \to v^{-}(\alpha)} v(y) = \lambda < \alpha$, this yields that $\lambda < 0$, which is a contradiction.

Set $u(u^{-}(0)) = \alpha_{0}$, then $u^{-}(\alpha_{0}) = u^{-}(0)$, and hence $u^{-}(\alpha) > u^{-}(\alpha_{0})$. This implies that $\alpha > \alpha_{0}$.

Since $u'_{-}(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \varphi$, we know that $u(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \alpha$, and thus

$$(u \nabla w)((u \nabla w)^{-}(\alpha)) = \alpha.$$ By $\lim_{y \to v^{-}(\alpha)} v(y) = \lambda < \alpha$, we get that

$$v^{-}(\rho) = v^{-}(\alpha)$$

for all $\rho \in (\lambda, \alpha]$, and hence

$$(u \nabla v)^{-}(\rho) = u^{-}(\rho) + v^{-}(\rho) = u^{-}(\rho) + v^{-}(\alpha)$$

for each $\rho \in (\lambda, \alpha]$. Thus

$$\lim_{\rho \to \alpha^{-}} \frac{\alpha - \rho}{(u \nabla v)^{-}(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^{-}(\rho)} = \lim_{\rho \to \alpha^{-}} \frac{\alpha - \rho}{u^{-}(\alpha) + v^{-}(\alpha) - (u^{-}(\rho) + v^{-}(\alpha))} = \lim_{\rho \to \alpha^{-}} \frac{\alpha - \rho}{\alpha^{-}(\rho)} = u'_{-}(u^{-}(\alpha)) = \varphi. \quad (18)$$
Similarly, note that \( v_s^- (\rho) = v^- (\alpha) \) for all \( \rho \in [\lambda, \alpha) \), we get
\[
(u \nabla v)_s^- (\rho) = u_s^- (\rho) + v_s^- (\rho) = u_s^- (\rho) + v^- (\alpha)
\]
for each \( \rho \in [\lambda, \alpha) \), and thus
\[
\lim_{\rho \to \alpha-} \frac{\alpha - \rho}{(u \nabla v)^+ (\alpha) - (u \nabla v)_s^- (\rho)} = \lim_{\rho \to \alpha-} \frac{\alpha - \rho}{u^- (\alpha) + v^- (\alpha) - (u_s^- (\rho) + v^- (\alpha))}.
\]

Now it follows from Corollary 4.3, (18) and (19) that \( (u \nabla v)^+ ((u \nabla v)^- (\alpha)) = \varphi \).
\[\square\]

The following theorem shows that convolution transform keeps the differentiability at the right-endpoints of level-cut-sets. It also computes the corresponding derivatives.

**Theorem 4.6** Let \( u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \), and let \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), then

(i) If \( u'_+ (u^+ (\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \) and \( v'_+ (v^+ (\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), then \( (u \nabla v)^+ ((u \nabla v)^+ (\alpha)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1} \).

(ii) If \( u'_- (u^+ (\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \), \( v'_- (v^+ (\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), and \( u(u^+ (\alpha)) = \varphi + \psi = \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)^+ ((u \nabla v)^+ (\beta)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1} \).

(iii) If \( u'_- (u^+ (\alpha)) = \varphi \), \( u(u^+ (\alpha)) = \beta \), and \( v(v^+ (\alpha)) = \gamma > \beta \), then \( (u \nabla v)^+ ((u \nabla v)^+ (\gamma)) = \varphi \).

(iv) If \( u'_+ (u^+ (\alpha)) = \varphi \), and \( \lim_{y \to u^+ (\alpha)} v(y) = \lambda < \alpha \), then \( (u \nabla v)^+ ((u \nabla v)^+ (\lambda)) = \varphi \).

**Proof** The proof is similar to Theorem 4.5.  \[\square\]

5 Smooth approximations of fuzzy numbers generated by the convolution method

In this section, we first consider how to use the convolution method to smooth an arbitrarily given fuzzy numbers. The key step is the constructing of smoothers. It discusses how to construct smoothers for a general fuzzy number so that it can be smoothed by the convolution method. We show how to do this step by step. Firstly, it shows how to construct smoothers for fuzzy numbers in \( \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \). Secondly, it discusses how to construct smoothers for fuzzy numbers in \( \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \). At last, it investigates how to construct smoothers for an
arbitrary fuzzy number so that it can be smoothed. Based on above results, we then assert that, given an arbitrary fuzzy number with finite non-differentiable points, one can use the convolution method to generate smooth approximations for it in finite steps. The condition that the number of non-differentiable points is finite is quite general for fuzzy numbers used in real world applications. Several simulation examples are given to validate and illustrate the theoretical results. All computations in this section are implemented by Matlab.

The following theorem shows that how to generate smoothers for fuzzy numbers in $\mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$ so that it can be smoothed.

**Theorem 5.1** Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$ and that $w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, then $w$ is a smoother of $u$, i.e. $u \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$ when $w$ satisfies the following conditions.

(i) $w(w^-(0)) = u(u^-(0))$ and $w(w^+(0)) = u(u^+(0))$.

(ii) If $w^-(1)$ is the inner point of $[u]_0$, then $w'_-(w^-(1)) = 0$.

(iii) If $u^+(1)$ is the inner point of $[u]_0$, then $w'_+(w^+(1)) = 0$.

**Proof** Suppose that $w(w^-(0)) = u(u^-(0)) = \alpha_0$ and $w(w^+(0)) = u(u^+(0)) = \beta_0$, then $(u \nabla w)((u \nabla w)^-(0)) = \alpha_0$ and $(u \nabla w)((u \nabla w)^+(0)) = \beta_0$. Thus to show $u \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, it only need to prove that $u \nabla w$ is differentiable on $((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha_0), (u \nabla w)^+(\beta_0))$. The proof is split into four cases.

Case (A) $\alpha_0 < 1$ and $\beta_0 < 1$.

Given $x \in ((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha_0), (u \nabla w)^+(\beta_0))$, set $(u \nabla w)(x) = \alpha$. The proof is divided into the following ten subcases. Some examples of fuzzy numbers in Case (A) is given in Fig 1.

Subcase (A1) $\alpha < 1$ and $(u \nabla w)^-(\alpha) < x < (u \nabla w)^+(\alpha)$.

Obviously $(u \nabla w)'(x) = 0$ in this subcases.

Subcase (A2) $\alpha < 1$ and $x = (u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)$.

Since $u, w \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$, from Corollary 3.4, we know that $u(u^-(\alpha)) = w(w^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$.

If $u'(u^-(\alpha)) = \varphi > 0$ and $w'(w^-(\alpha)) = \psi > 0$, then by Theorem 4.5 (i), (ii), we have that $(u \nabla w)'((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}$.

If $u'(u^-(\alpha)) = 0$ or $w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0$, then by Theorem 4.1 (i), (ii), we have that $(u \nabla w)'((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = 0$.

Subcases (A3) $\alpha < 1$ and $x = (u \nabla w)^+(\alpha)$.
(a) A fuzzy number with $0 < \alpha_0 < 1, 0 < \beta_0 < 1$

(b) A fuzzy number with $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 0$

Fig. 1. Some fuzzy numbers in Case (A)

It follows from Corollary 3.4 and $u, w \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$ that $u(u_0^-(\alpha)) = w(w_0^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$.

If $u'(u_0^-(\alpha)) = \varphi > 0$ and $w'(w_0^-(\alpha)) = \psi > 0$, it then yields that $u^-(\alpha) = u_0^-(\alpha)$ and $w^-(\alpha) = w_0^-(\alpha)$, and thus, by Theorem 4.5 (i), (ii), we have that $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_0^-(\alpha)) = (u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}$.

If $u'(u_0^-(\alpha)) = 0$ or $w'(w_0^-(\alpha)) = 0$, then by Theorem 4.1 (iii), (iv), we have that $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_0^-(\alpha)) = 0$.

Subcases (A4) $x = (u\nabla w)^-(1)$.

Note that $w^-(1)$ is the inner point of $[w]_0$, it then follows from $w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$ that $w'(w^-(1)) = 0$, and thus, by Theorem 4.1 (i), (ii), $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^-(1)) = 0$.

Subcases (A5) $(u\nabla w)^-(1) < x < (u\nabla w)^+(1)$.

Obviously, in this case, $(u\nabla w)'(x) = 0$.

Subcases (A6) $x = (u\nabla w)^+(1)$.

Note that $w^+(1)$ is the inner point of $[w]_0$, similarly as in Subcases (A4), we know that $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(1)) = 0$.

Subcases (A7) $\alpha < 1$ and $x = (u\nabla w)_0^+(\alpha)$

This subcase is similarly to Subcases (A3).

If $u'(u_0^+(\alpha)) = \varphi > 0$ and $w'(w_0^+(\alpha)) = \psi > 0$, then by Theorem 4.6 (i), (ii)), we know that $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_0^+(\alpha)) = (u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}$. 
If \( u'(u^s_+(\alpha)) = 0 \) or \( w'(w^s_+(\alpha)) = 0 \), then by Theorem 4.1 (vii), (viii), \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^s_+(\alpha)) = 0\).

Subcase (A8) \( \alpha < 1 \) and \( x = (u\nabla w)^+(\alpha) \).

This subcase is similarly to Subcase (A2). If \( u'(u^+(\alpha)) = \varphi > 0 \) and \( w'(w^+(\alpha)) = \psi > 0 \), then by Theorem 4.6 (i), (ii), we have that \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)) = (\varphi^{-1} + \psi^{-1})^{-1}\).

If \( u'(u^+(\alpha)) = 0 \) or \( w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0 \), then by Theorem 4.1 (v), (vi), we have that \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)) = 0\).

Subcase (A10) \( \alpha < 1 \) and \((u\nabla w)^s_+(\alpha) < x < (u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)\).

In this subcases, it is easy to see that \((u\nabla w)'(x) = 0\).

Case (B) \( \alpha_0 < 1 \) and \( \beta_0 = 1 \).

In this case, \((u\nabla w)^+(1) = (u\nabla w)^+(0)\). Thus \( u^+(1) = u^+(0) \) and \( w^+(1) = w^+(0) \). We divide the proof into two subcases. See Fig. 2 for the figures of fuzzy numbers in this case.

Subcase (B1) \((u\nabla w)^-(1) = (u\nabla w)^+(1)\).

In this subcase, to show \(u\nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})\), we only need to prove that \(u\nabla w\) is differentiable on \(((u\nabla w)^-(0), (u\nabla w)^-(1))\). The proof is just the same as Subcases (A1), (A2), and (A3).

Subcase (B2) \((u\nabla w)^-(1) < (u\nabla w)^+(1)\).
In this subcase, to show $u \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, we only need to prove that $u \nabla w$ is differentiable on $((u \nabla w)^{-}(0), (u \nabla w)^{+}(1))$.

We affirm that, in this subcase, $w_{-}(w^{-}(1)) = 0$. In fact, if $u^{-}(1)$ is the inner point of $[u]_0$, it then follows from condition (ii) that $w_{-}^{-}(w^{-}(1)) = 0$. If $u^{-}(1)$ is not the inner point of $[u]_0$, i.e. $u^{-}(1) = u^{+}(1) = u^{+}(0)$, then it follows from $(u \nabla w)^{-}(1) < (u \nabla w)^{+}(1)$ that $w^{-}(1) < w^{+}(1)$, i.e. $w^{-}(1)$ is the inner point of $[w]_0$. Note that $w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, thus we know that $w_{-}^{-}(w^{-}(1)) = 0$.

Now, by Theorem 4.1(i), we know that $w_{-}^{-}(w^{-}(1)) = 0$ implies that

\[ (u \nabla w)^{-}_{-}((u \nabla w)^{-}(1)) = 0. \]  

(20)

Note that, in this subcases, $(u \nabla w)^{-}(1)$ is the inner point of $[u \nabla w]_0$, so

\[ (u \nabla w)^{-}_{+}((u \nabla w)^{+}(1)) = 0. \]  

(21)

Combined with (20) and (21), we have

\[ (u \nabla w)^{'}((u \nabla w)^{-}(1)) = 0. \]

The remainder proof is just the same as Subcases (A1)–(A3) and (A5).

Case (C) $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\beta_0 < 1$.

In this case, to show $u \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, it only need to prove that $u \nabla w$ is differentiable on $((u \nabla w)^{-}(1), (u \nabla w)^{+}(0))$. Similar to Case (B), using condition (iii), we can prove the desired conclusion. See Fig 3 for the figures of fuzzy numbers in Case (C).

Case (D) $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\beta_0 = 1$. 
Fig. 4. A fuzzy number in Case (D) which satisfies that $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\beta_0 = 1$

In this case, $[u \nabla w]_0 = [u \nabla w]_1$. So it is obvious that $u \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$. Fig. 4 is a figure of a fuzzy number in this case. $\square$

Now we give some examples to illustrate Theorem 5.1. The following Example 5.2 shows that condition (i) in Theorem 5.1 can not be deleted.

**Example 5.2** Suppose

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 
  t + 1, & t \in [-1, 0], \\
  1 - t, & t \in [0, 1], \\
  0, & t \notin [-1, 1]. 
\end{cases}$$

Observe that $u$ is differentiable on $(-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$. We can see that $u \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$[u]_\alpha = [-1 + \alpha, 1 - \alpha]$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. See Fig. 5a for the figure of $u$.

Let

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} 
  1 - t^2, & t \in [-\sqrt{0.5}, \sqrt{0.5}], \\
  0, & t \notin [-\sqrt{0.5}, \sqrt{0.5}], 
\end{cases}$$

then

$$[w]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
  [-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \sqrt{1-\alpha}], & \alpha \in [0.5, 1], \\
  [-\sqrt{0.5}, \sqrt{0.5}], & \alpha \notin [0, 0.5]. 
\end{cases}$$

See Fig. 5b for the figure of $w$. It can be checked that $w$ is differentiable on $(-0.5, 0.5)$, i.e. $w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$. Notice that

$$w'(w^-(1)) = w'(w^+(1)) = w'(0) = 0,$$
Fig. 5. Fuzzy numbers in Example 5.2

\[ w(w^-(0)) = 0.5 \neq 0 = u(u^-(0)), \]
\[ w(w^+(0)) = 0.5 \neq 0 = u(u^+(0)), \]

thus we know that \( u \) and \( w \) satisfy all but condition (i) in Theorem 5.1. In the following, we show that \( w \) is not a smoother of \( u \).

It can be computed that

\[ [u \nabla w]_\alpha = [u]_\alpha + [w]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
-1 + \alpha - \sqrt{1-\alpha}, & 1 - \alpha + \sqrt{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0.5,1], \\
-1 + \alpha - \sqrt{0.5}, & 1 - \alpha + \sqrt{0.5}, & \alpha \notin [0,0.5]. 
\end{cases} \]
Now we can plot the figure of $u\nabla w$ in Fig 5c, and obtain

$$(u\nabla w)(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 + t + \sqrt{0.5}, & t \in [-1 - \sqrt{0.5}, -0.5 - \sqrt{0.5}], \\
t + 0.5\sqrt{1 - 4t} + 0.5, & t \in [-0.5 - \sqrt{0.5}, 0], \\
-t + 0.5\sqrt{1 + 4t} + 0.5, & t \in [0, 0.5 + \sqrt{0.5}], \\
1 - t + \sqrt{0.5}, & t \in [0.5 + \sqrt{0.5}, 1 + \sqrt{0.5}].
\end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $u\nabla w$ is not differentiable on $t = -0.5 - \sqrt{0.5}$ and $t = 0.5 + \sqrt{0.5}$, and therefore $u\nabla w \notin \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$. So $w$ is not a smoother of $u$. This means that condition (i) in Theorem 5.1 cannot be omitted.

The following Example 5.3 shows that conditions (ii) and (iii) cannot be cut off.

**Example 5.3** Suppose that

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 + t, & t \in [-1, 0], \\
1, & t \in [0, 1], \\
0, & t \notin [-1, 1].
\end{cases}$$

Note that $u$ is differentiable on $(-1, 1) \setminus \{0\}$. It can be checked that $u \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$. See Fig. 6a for the figure of $u$.

Put

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 + t, & t \in [-1, 0], \\
0, & t \notin [-1, 0].
\end{cases}$$

Clearly, $w$ is differentiable on $(-1, 0)$, i.e. $w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$. The figure of $w$ is in Fig. 6b. Observe that $u^{-}(1) = 0$ is an inner point of $[u]_0$, however $w_{-}'(w^{-}(1)) = w_{-}'(0) = 1 \neq 0$. Thus we know that $u$ and $w$ satisfy all but condition (ii) in Theorem 5.1.

We can compute that

$$(u\nabla w)(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 + t/2, & t \in [-2, 0], \\
1, & t \in [0, 1], \\
0, & t \notin [-2, 1].
\end{cases}$$

The figure of $u\nabla w$ is in Fig. 6c. Since $u\nabla w$ is not differentiable at $t = 0$, we know that $u\nabla w \notin \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$. Thus $w$ is not a smoother of $u$. This indicates that condition (ii) cannot be omitted.
Fig. 6. Fuzzy numbers in Example 5.3
Now take

\[ v(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 - t^2, & t \in [-1, 0], \\
0, & t \notin [-1, 0].
\end{cases} \]

The figure of \( v \) is in Fig. 6d. Notice that \( v'_-(1) = v'_-(0) = 0 \). It can be checked that \( u \) and \( v \) satisfy all the conditions in Theorem 5.1. Thus, by Theorem 5.1, \( v \) is a smoother of \( u \). We validate this assertion in the following.

Note that

\[ [u \nabla v]_{\alpha} = [\alpha - 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, 1] \]

for all \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \). See Fig. 6e for the figure of \( u \nabla v \). Now we know

\[ (u \nabla v)(t) = \begin{cases} 
t + 0.5\sqrt{1 - 4t} + 0.5, & t \in [-2, 0], \\
1, & t \in [0, 1], \\
0, & t \notin [-2, 1].
\end{cases} \]

It’s easy to check that \( u \nabla v \in F_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{R}) \). So \( v \) is a smoother of \( u \).

We can see how condition (ii) takes effect from this example. In a similar way, we can show that condition (iii) can not be removed.

In Proposition 2.3, it pointed out that if \( u \in F_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{R}) \), then \( u \nabla w_p \in F_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{R}) \). This means that \( w_p, p > 0 \), can serve as smoothers for all fuzzy numbers in \( F_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{R}) \). In Theorem 5.1, it finds that \( w_p, p > 0 \), can also work as smoothers even for fuzzy numbers not in \( F_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{R}) \). The following example is given to show this fact.

**Example 5.4** Suppose

\[ u(t) = \begin{cases} 
-0.5(t^2 + 2t), & t \in [-2, -1), \\
0.5, & t \in [-1, -0.5], \\
2t^2 + 2t + 1, & t \in (-0.5, 0], \\
2t^2 - 2t + 1, & t \in (0, 0.5), \\
0.5, & t \in [0.5, 1], \\
-0.5(t^2 - 2t), & t \in (1, 2], \\
0, & t \notin [-2, 2].
\end{cases} \]

See Fig. 7a for the figure of \( u \). Clearly \( u \) is not strictly increasing on \([-1, -0.5]\) and is not strictly decreasing on \([0.5, 1]\). Therefore \( u \) is in \( F_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap F_C(\mathbb{R}) \) but
Fig. 7. Fuzzy numbers $u$, $w_1$ and $u\nabla w_1$ in Example 5.4 not in $\mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R})$. The $\alpha$-cuts of $u$ are as follows:

$$[u]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
-\sqrt{1-2\alpha -1}, & \sqrt{1-2\alpha +1}, 
\alpha \in [0,0.5], \\
[0.5(-1+\sqrt{2\alpha -1}), 0.5(1-\sqrt{2\alpha -1})], & \alpha \in (0.5,1].
\end{cases}$$

Take $w_1$ defined in (2), i.e.

$$w_1(t) = \begin{cases} 
1-t^2, & t \in [-1,1], \\
0, & t \notin [-1,1],
\end{cases}$$

then

$$[w_1]_\alpha = [-\sqrt{1-\alpha}, \sqrt{1-\alpha}]$$

for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$. The figure of $w_1$ is in Fig 7b. It is easy to check that $u$ and $w_1$ satisfy all the conditions in Theorem 5.1. Thus $w_1$ is a smoother for $u$. Now,
we validate this assertion by computing \( u \nabla w_1 \). Note that

\[
[u \nabla w_1]_\alpha = [u]_\alpha + [w_1]_\alpha
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
-\sqrt{1-2\alpha} - 1 - \sqrt{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 0.5], \\
0.5(-1 + \sqrt{2\alpha - 1}) - \sqrt{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in (0.5, 1], 
\end{cases}
\]

and thus we can obtain that

\[
(u \nabla w_1)(t) = \begin{cases} 
-(t + 1)(3t + 2(2t^2 + 4t + 3)^{0.5} + 3), & t \in [-3, -1 - \sqrt{0.5}], \\
0.5, & t \in (-1 - \sqrt{0.5}, -0.5 - \sqrt{0.5}], \\
(4t(1 - 2t - 2t^2)^{0.5})/9 - (2t)/9 + (2(1 - 2t - 2t^2)^{0.5})/9 - (2t^2)/9 + 7/9, & t \in (-0.5 - \sqrt{0.5}, 0], \\
(-4t(1 + 2t - 2t^2)^{0.5})/9 + (2t)/9 + (2(1 + 2t - 2t^2)^{0.5})/9 - (2t^2)/9 + 7/9, & t \in [0, 0.5 + \sqrt{0.5}], \\
0.5, & t \in [0.5 + \sqrt{0.5}, 1 + \sqrt{0.5}], \\
(t - 1)(-3t + 2(2t^2 - 4t + 3)^{0.5} + 3), & t \in [1 + \sqrt{0.5}, 3], \\
0, & t \notin [-3, 3]. 
\end{cases}
\]

The figure of \( u \nabla w_1 \) is in Fig 7c. It can be computed that

\[
(u \nabla w_1)'(-1 - \sqrt{0.5}) = (u \nabla w_1)'(-0.5 - \sqrt{0.5}) = 0, \\
(u \nabla w_1)'(0) = 0, \\
(u \nabla w_1)'(1 + \sqrt{0.5}) = (u \nabla w_1)'(0.5 + \sqrt{0.5}) = 0.
\]

Combined with the expression of \( u \nabla w_1 \), it now follows that \( u \nabla w_1 \) is differentiable on \((-3, 3)\), i.e., \( u \nabla w_1 \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \). This means that \( w_1 \) can work as a smoother for the fuzzy number \( u \) which is in \((\mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R})\).

However \( w_p, p > 0 \), may not be smoothers for a fuzzy number \( u \) which is not in \( \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \). The following example is given to show this fact.
Example 5.5 Suppose that

\[
u(t) = \begin{cases} 
0.5t + 0.5, & t \in [-1, 0), \\
-0.5t + 0.5, & t \in (0, 1], \\
1, & t = 0, \\
0, & t \notin [-1, 1].
\end{cases}
\]

See Fig. 8a for the figure of \(u\). It is easy to check that \(u \in (\mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\).

Since

\[
[u]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
\{0\}, & \alpha \in [0.5, 1], \\
[2\alpha - 1, 1 - 2\alpha], & \alpha \in [0, 0.5],
\end{cases}
\]

by (22), we know that

\[
[u \nabla w_1]_\alpha = [u]_\alpha + [w_1]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
[-\sqrt{1 - \alpha}, \sqrt{1 - \alpha}], & \alpha \in [0.5, 1], \\
[2\alpha - 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, 1 - 2\alpha + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}], & \alpha \in [0, 0.5],
\end{cases}
\]

thus

\[
(u \nabla w_1)(t) = \begin{cases} 
0.125(-8t + 9)^{0.5} + 0.5t + 0.375, & t \in [-2, -\sqrt{0.5}], \\
1 - t^2, & t \in [-\sqrt{0.5}, \sqrt{0.5}], \\
0.125(8t + 9)^{0.5} - 0.5t + 0.375, & t \in [\sqrt{0.5}, 2].
\end{cases}
\]

See Fig. 8b for the figure of \(u \nabla w_1\). It is easy to check that \(u \nabla w_1\) is not differentiable at \(t = \pm \sqrt{0.5}\). Thus \(u \nabla w_1 \notin \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})\). So \(w_1\) is not a smoother for \(u\).
This example shows that \( w_p, p > 0 \), may not work as smoothers for fuzzy numbers in \( \mathcal{F}_T(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \) but not in \( \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \).

**Remark 5.6** Define

\[
\mathcal{F}^0_{NC}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ u \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) : u(u^-(0)) = u(u^+(0)) = 0 \}.
\]

Let \( u \) be a fuzzy number in \( \mathcal{F}^0_{NC}(\mathbb{R}) \). Suppose that \( Z_p^f \) is a fuzzy number defined by (3) and satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.4, i.e. \( p > 0 \) and \( f : [0,1] \to [0,1] \) is a differentiable and strictly decreasing function with \( f(0) = 1, f(1) = 0, \) and \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1^-} f'(\alpha) = -\infty. \) Then \( u \) and \( Z_p^f \) satisfy all conditions in Theorem 5.1. So, by Theorem 5.1, we know that \( Z_p^f \) presented in Proposition 2.4 are smoothers for fuzzy numbers in \( \mathcal{F}^0_{NC}(\mathbb{R}) \).

Since if \( f = \sqrt{1-t}, \) then \( Z_p^f \) is just \( w_p \) defined in (2), it follows that \( w_p, p > 0, \) are also smoothers for fuzzy numbers in \( \mathcal{F}^0_{NC}(\mathbb{R}) \).

Theorem 5.1 shows that how to choose smoothers for fuzzy numbers in \( \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \). Now we discuss how to use this method to construct a sequence of smooth fuzzy numbers to approximate the original fuzzy number.

Suppose that \( u_{l,r} \) is a fuzzy number in \( \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( u(u^-(0)) = l \) and \( u(u^+(0)) = r \). Define corresponding \( v_{l,r,p}, p > 0, \) as follows.

\[
v_{l,r,p}(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 - (t/p)^2, & t \in [-p\sqrt{1-l}, p\sqrt{1-r}], \\
0, & t \notin [-p\sqrt{1-l}, p\sqrt{1-r}].
\end{cases}
\]

It is easy to check that \( v_{l,r,p}(v_{l,r,p}^-(0)) = l, v_{l,r,p}(v_{l,r,p}^+(0)) = r, \) and then we can see that, for each \( p > 0, u_{l,r} \) and \( v_{l,r,p} \) satisfy all the conditions in Theorem 5.1. So \( v_{l,r,p}, p > 0, \) are smoothers for \( u_{l,r}. \) In the following, for simplicity, we use \( u \) and \( v_p \) to denote \( u_{l,r} \) and \( v_{l,r,p}, \) respectively.

See Fig. 9 for some examples of \( u \) and \( v_1 \) with different \( l \) and \( r. \) It is easy to observe that if \( l = r = 0, \) then \( v_p \) is just \( w_p \) defined in (2).

Notice that \( d_\infty(u \nabla v_p, u) \leq \max\{p\sqrt{1-l}, p\sqrt{1-t}\} \leq p, \) thus \( u \nabla v_p \) converges to \( u \) in \( d_\infty \) metric as \( p \to 0. \) Since \( u \nabla v_p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}), \) we have the following conclusion.

**Theorem 5.7** Given \( u \) in \( \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}), \) then the fuzzy number sequence \( \{u \nabla v_{1/n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \) converges to \( u \) according to the supremum metric \( d_\infty. \)

The above theorem shows that, for each fuzzy number \( u \in \mathcal{F}_N(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}), \) we can find a sequence of smooth fuzzy numbers \( \{u \nabla v_{1/n}\} \) which approximates \( u \) in \( d_\infty \) metric.
Remark 5.8 By Theorem 5.1, we can construct other smoothers for \(u_{l,r}\). For example, define fuzzy numbers \(\xi_{l,r,p}^{f,g}\) as follows:

\[
\xi_{l,r,p}^{f,g}(t) = \begin{cases} 
  f\left(\frac{t-pa}{pb-pa}\right), & t \in [pa, pb], \\
  1, & t \in [pb, pc], \\
  g\left(\frac{t-pc}{pd-pc}\right), & t \in [pc, pd], \\
  0, & t \notin [pa, pd], 
\end{cases}
\]

where \(a < b < c < d, p > 0, f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [l, 1]\) is an increasing and differentiable function which satisfies that \(f(0) = l, f(1) = 1, \) and \(f'(1) = 0), and \(g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [r, 1]\) is a decreasing and differentiable function which satisfies that \(g(0) = 1, g(1) = r, \) and \(g'(0) = 0). Then it can be checked that \(\xi_{l,r,p}^{f,g}, p > 0,\) are smoothers of \(u_{l,r}\) and that \(u_{l,r} \nabla_{\xi_{l,r,p}^{f,g}}\) converges to \(u_{l,r}\) as \(p \rightarrow 0).
Next we consider how to use the convolution method to construct a smooth fuzzy numbers sequence to approximate a fuzzy number \( u \in F_C(\mathbb{R}) \) which may have non-differentiable points in \( [u_0, u_1] \). The following lemma is needed.

**Lemma 5.9** Suppose that \( w \in F_D(\mathbb{R}) \) and that \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), then

(i) \( w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0 \) is equivalent to \( w'(w_s^-(\alpha)) = 0 \);

(ii) \( w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0 \) is equivalent to \( w'(w_s^+(\alpha)) = 0 \).

**Proof** (i) If \( w^- = w_s^- \), then obviously \( w'((w^- - \alpha)) = 0 \) is equivalent to \( w'((w^- - s(\alpha)) = 0 \). If \( w^- < w^-(\alpha) \), it then follows from \( w \in F_D(\mathbb{R}) \) that \( w'((w^- - \alpha)) = w'((w^- - s(\alpha)) = 0 \).

(ii) The desired conclusion can be proved similarly as (i). \( \Box \)

The following theorem shows that how to generate smoothers to smooth the fuzzy numbers in \( F_C(\mathbb{R}) \).

**Theorem 5.10** Suppose that \( u \in F_C(\mathbb{R}) \) and that \( w \in F_D(\mathbb{R}) \), then \( w \) is a smoother of \( u \), i.e. \( u \nabla w \in F_D(\mathbb{R}) \), when \( w \) satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 5.1 and the following condition (iv).

(iv) Given \( x \in [u^-(0), u^+(0)] \) with \( u(x) = \alpha < 1 \), if \( x \) is a non-differentiable point of \( u \), then

(iv) (1) if \( x < u^-(1) \), then \( w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0 \);

(iv) (2) if \( x > u^+(1) \), then \( w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0 \).

**Proof** To prove that \( u \nabla w \) is differentiable on \( ([u \nabla w]^-(0), [u \nabla w]^+(0)) \). We adopt the same procedure as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof is divided into the same cases and subcases as the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Suppose that \( x \in ([u \nabla w]^-(0), [u \nabla w]^+(0)) \). To show \( u \nabla w \) is differentiable at \( x \), we only need to prove Subcases (A2), (A3), (A7) and (A8), which are written in the following.

Subcase (A2) \( \alpha < 1 \) and \( x = ([u \nabla w]^-(0)) \).

Note that \( u \in F_C(\mathbb{R}) \), hence \( u(u^-(\alpha)) = \alpha \). If \( u^-(\alpha) \) is a non-differentiable point of \( u \), then, by condition (iv)(1), \( w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0 \), it thus follows from Theorem 4.5 (i), (ii) that \( (u \nabla w)'([u \nabla w]^-(\alpha)) = 0 \).

If \( u^-(\alpha) \) is a differentiable point of \( u \), then proceed as in the proof of Subcase (A2) in Theorem 5.1, we can prove that \( u \nabla w \) is differentiable at \( [u \nabla w]^-(\alpha) \).

Subcase (A3) \( \alpha < 1 \) and \( x = ([u \nabla w]_s^-)(\alpha) \).

Note that \( u(u^-_s(\alpha)) = \alpha \). If \( u^-_s(\alpha) \) is a non-differentiable point of \( u \), then, by condition (iv)(1), \( w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0 \), and hence by Lemma 5.9, \( w'(w^-_s(\alpha)) = 0 \).
It thus follows from Theorem 4.5 (iii), (iv) that \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^- (\alpha)) = 0\).

If \(u_s^- (\alpha)\) is a differentiable point of \(u\), then proceed as in the proof of Subcase (A3) in Theorem 5.1, we can prove that \(u\nabla w\) is differentiable at \((u\nabla w)_s^- (\alpha)\).

Subcase (A7) \(\alpha < 1\) and \(x = (u\nabla w)_s^+(\alpha)\).

Note that \(u(u_s^+(\alpha)) = \alpha\). If \(u_s^+(\alpha)\) is a non-differentiable point of \(u\), then, by condition (iv)(2), \(w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0\), and hence by Lemma 5.9, \(w'(w_s^+(\alpha)) = 0\). It thus follows from Theorem 4.5 (vii), (viii) that \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^+(\alpha)) = 0\).

If \(u_s^+(\alpha)\) is a differentiable point of \(u\), then proceed as in the proof of Subcase (A7) in Theorem 5.1, we can prove that \(u\nabla w\) is differentiable at \((u\nabla w)_s^+(\alpha)\).

Subcase (A8) \(\alpha < 1\) and \(x = (u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)\).

Note that \(u(u^+(\alpha)) = \alpha\). If \(u^+(\alpha)\) is a non-differentiable point of \(u\), then, by condition (iv)(2), \(w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0\), it thus follows from Theorem 4.5 (v), (vi) that \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)) = 0\).

If \(u^+(\alpha)\) is a differentiable point of \(u\), then proceed as in the proof of Subcase (A8) in Theorem 5.1, we can prove that \(u\nabla w\) is differentiable at \((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)\).

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.11** Suppose that \(u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})\). If \(x\) is a non-differentiable point of \(u\) and \(u(x) = \alpha < 1\), then, obviously, \(x \notin (u^-(\alpha), u_s^- (\alpha)) \cup (u_s^+(\alpha), u^+(\alpha))\), i.e., \(x\) equals \(u^- (\alpha), u_s^- (\alpha), u^+ (\alpha)\) or \(u_s^+(\alpha)\).

The following is a concrete example by which we illustrate how to use the results in Theorem 5.10 to construct smoothers for fuzzy numbers in \(\mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\).

**Example 5.12** Suppose

\[\begin{align*}
   u(t) &= \begin{cases} 
   0.5 + t, & t \in [-0.5, 0], \\
   0.5 + 0.5t, & t \in [0, 1], \\
   2 - t, & t \in (1, 2], \\
   0, & t \notin [-0.5, 2].
   \end{cases}
\end{align*}\]

Obviously, \(u\) is in \(\mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\) and has a non-differentiable point \(u^- (0.5) = 0\). See Fig. 10a for the figure of \(u\).

First let’s see that whether \(w_1\) defined in (2) can work as a smoother for \(u\). The figure of \(w_1\) is in Fig. 10b. Note that \(w_1'(w_1^- (0.5)) \neq 0\), thus \(u\) and \(w_1\) do not satisfy the conditions in Theorem 5.10. We compute \(u\nabla w_1\) as follows.
Fig. 10. Fuzzy numbers in Example 5.12
Since
\[ [u]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
[\alpha - 0.5, 2 - \alpha], & \alpha \in [0, 0.5], \\
[2\alpha - 1, 2 - \alpha], & \alpha \in (0.5, 1], 
\end{cases} \]
it can be deduced that
\[ [u \nabla w_1]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
[\alpha - 0.5 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, 2 - \alpha + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}], & \alpha \in [0, 0.5], \\
[2\alpha - 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, 2 - \alpha + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}], & \alpha \in (0.5, 1], 
\end{cases} \]
and therefore
\[ (u \nabla w_1)(t) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\frac{3}{4} - t + t}, & t \in \left[ -\frac{3}{2}, -\sqrt{0.5} \right], \\
\sqrt{\frac{3 - 3t + 3 + 4t}{8}}, & t \in (\sqrt{0.5}, 1], \\
\sqrt{\frac{3 - 3t + 3}{2}}, & t \in (1, 3], \\
0, & t \notin \left[ -\frac{3}{2}, 3 \right]. 
\end{cases} \]
Notice that
\[ (u \nabla w_1)'_-(\sqrt{0.5}) = 2 - \sqrt{2}, \]
\[ (u \nabla w_1)'_+(\sqrt{0.5}) = \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{2}}{14}, \]
it then follows that \( u \nabla w_1 \) is not differentiable at \((u \nabla w_1)^{\alpha}(0.5) = \sqrt{0.5}\), so \( u \nabla w_1 \notin \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \). This means that \( w_1 \) is not a smoother of \( u \).

Now we use the conclusions in Theorem 5.10 to construct a smoother for \( u \).
Consider a fuzzy number \( p \) defined by
\[ p(t) = \begin{cases} 
0.5 - (t + \pi/2)^2, & t \in [-\pi/2 - \sqrt{0.5}, -\pi/2], \\
0.25 \sin t + 0.75, & t \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2], \\
1 - (t - \pi/2)^2, & t \in [\pi/2, 1 + \pi/2]. 
\end{cases} \]
Then \( p'(\sqrt{0.5}) = 0 \). See Fig. 10d for the figure of \( p \). It’s easy to check that \( u \) and \( p \) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 5.10. Thus by Theorem 5.10, \( p \) is a smoother of \( u \). We validate this assertion in the following. Since
\[ [p]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
[-0.5\pi - (0.5 - \alpha)^{0.5}, 0.5\pi + (1 - \alpha)^{0.5}], & \alpha \in [0, 0.5], \\
[\arcsin(4\alpha - 3), 0.5\pi + (1 - \alpha)^{0.5}], & \alpha \in [0.5, 1], 
\end{cases} \]
it follows that
\[
[u \nabla p]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
-0.5\pi - (0.5 - \alpha)^{0.5} + \alpha - 0.5, & 0.5\pi + (1 - \alpha)^{0.5} + 2 - \alpha, \quad \alpha \in [0, 0.5], \\
\arcsin(4\alpha - 3) + 2\alpha - 1, & 0.5\pi + (1 - \alpha)^{0.5} + 2 - \alpha, \quad \alpha \in [0.5, 1].
\end{cases}
\]

Now we can plot \(u \nabla p\) in Fig. 10e, and obtain
\[
(u \nabla p)(t) = \begin{cases} 
\pi/2 + t + (1 - 4t - 2\pi)^{0.5}/2, & t \in [-\pi/2 - \sqrt{0.5} - 0.5, -\pi/2], \\
f(t), & t \in [-0.5\pi, 1 + 0.5\pi], \\
\pi/2 - t + (4t - 2\pi - 3)^{0.5}/2 + 3/2, & t \in [1 + 0.5\pi, 3 + 0.5\pi],
\end{cases}
\]

where \(f(t)\) is the inverse function of \(g(\alpha) = \arcsin(4\alpha - 3) + 2\alpha - 1\) when \(\alpha \in [0.5, 1]\).

It can be checked that \(u \nabla p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})\). In fact, from the expression of \(u \nabla p\), we know that it only need to show that \(u \nabla p\) is differentiable at \(-0.5\pi\) and \(1 + 0.5\pi\). Use implicit differentiation to take \(f'\) as follows:
\[
f'(t) = (2 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{1 - (4\alpha(t) - 3)^2}})^{-1}.
\]
Then we have that
\[
(u \nabla p)'_\_(-0.5\pi) = \frac{d}{dt}(\pi/2 + t + (1 - 4t - 2\pi)^{0.5}/2)_{t=-0.5\pi} = 0,
\]
\[
(u \nabla p)'_+(0.5\pi) = f'(-0.5\pi) = 0,
\]
and hence
\[
(u \nabla p)'(-0.5\pi) = 0. \tag{24}
\]

Similarly, it can be computed that
\[
(u \nabla p)'_\_+(1 + 0.5\pi) = f'(1 + 0.5\pi) = 0,
\]
\[
(u \nabla p)'_+(1 + 0.5\pi) = \frac{d}{dt}(\pi/2 - t + (4t - 2\pi - 3)^{0.5}/2 + 3/2)_{t=1+0.5\pi} = 0,
\]
and thus
\[
(u \nabla p)'(1 + 0.5\pi) = 0. \tag{25}
\]

It now follows from (23),(24) and (25) that \(u \nabla p\) is differentiable on \((-\pi/2 - \sqrt{0.5} - 0.5, 3 + 0.5\pi)\), i.e, \(u \nabla p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})\). So \(p\) is a smoother of \(u\).

From this example we can see how condition (iv) takes effect.

Look at the construction of \(p\). To make \(u\) and \(p\) satisfy the condition (iv), i.e. to assure \(p'(p^-(0.5)) = p'(-\pi/2) = 0\), we use a polynomial function and a sine function to construct \(p\).
Along this line, given a fuzzy number $u \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$ with finite non-differentiable points, we can use polynomial functions, sine functions and cosine functions to construct a fuzzy number $v \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u$ and $v$ satisfy all conditions in Theorem 5.10.

To construct a smooth fuzzy numbers sequence to approximate $u$, put $v_p := p \cdot v$, i.e.

$$v_p(t) := (p \cdot v)(t) = v(t/p) = \begin{cases} v(t/p), & t \in p[v]_0, \\ 0, & t \notin p[v]_0, \end{cases}$$

(26)

where $p > 0$ is a real number. Clearly $v = v_1$. It can be checked that

$$v(v^-(0)) = v_p(v^-_p(0)), \quad v(v^+(0)) = v_p(v^+_p(0)), \tag{27}$$

and

$$v_p^-_p(t) = v'_-(t/p)/p,$$

$$v_p^+_p(t) = v'_+(t/p)/p$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we know $v_p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$,

$$v_p^-_p(v^-_p(1)) = v'_-(v^-(1))/p, \tag{28}$$

$$v_p^+_p(v^+_p(1)) = v'_+(v^+(1))/p, \tag{29}$$

and

$$v'_p(v^-_p(\alpha)) = v'_p(p \cdot v^-(\alpha)) = v'(v^-(\alpha))/p, \tag{30}$$

$$v'_p(v^+_p(\alpha)) = v'_p(p \cdot v^+(\alpha)) = v'(v^+(\alpha))/p \tag{31}$$

for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

From eqs. (27)–(31), we know that $u$ and $v$ satisfy all the conditions in Theorem 5.10 is equivalent to that $u$ and $v_p$, $p > 0$, satisfy all the conditions in Theorem 5.10. So we know that $u$ and $v_p$, $p > 0$, satisfy all the conditions in Theorem 5.10, and thus $u \nabla v_p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$.

Note that

$$d_\infty(u, u \nabla v_p) \leq p \cdot (v^+(0) - v^-(0)).$$

This means that $\{u \nabla v_p\}$ converges to $u$ in the supremum metric $d_\infty$ as $p \to 0$. So we have the following conclusion.

**Theorem 5.13** Given $u$ in $\mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})$. If the number of non-differentiable points of $u$ is finite, then there exists smoothers for $u$. Moreover, if $v$ is a smoother of $u$, then $p \cdot v$, $p > 0$, are also smoothers of $u$, and that $\{u \nabla (p \cdot v)\}$ converges to $u$ according to the supremum metric $d_\infty$ as $p \to 0$. 43
Now we consider how to smooth an arbitrarily given fuzzy number $u$, which may have non-continuous points in $(u^-(0), u^+(0))$.

**Theorem 5.14** Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and that $w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, then $w$ is a smoother of $u$, i.e. $u \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$, when $w$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 5.1, the condition (iv) in Theorem 5.10, and the following condition (v).

(v) Given $x \in (u^-(0), u^+(0))$ with $u(x) = \alpha < 1$, if $x$ is a non-continuous point of $u$, then

(v) (1) if $x < u^-(1)$, then $w'(w^-(\beta)) = 0$, where $\beta = \lim_{y \to x^-} u(y)$;
(v) (2) if $x > u^+(1)$, then $w'(w^+(\gamma)) = 0$, where $\gamma = \lim_{z \to x^+} u(z)$.

**Proof** To prove that $u \nabla w \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R})$. We divide the proof into the same cases and subcases as the proof of Theorem 5.1. We only need to show the following Subcases (A2), (A3), (A7) and (A8). The remainder part can be proved similarly as the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Subcase (A2) $u \nabla w$ is differentiable at $(u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)$ when $\alpha < 1$.

Suppose that $u^-(\alpha)$ is a differentiable point of $u$, then proceed as in the proof of Subcase (A2) in Theorem 5.1, we can prove that $u \nabla w$ is differentiable at $(u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)$.

Suppose that $u^-(\alpha)$ is a continuous but not-differentiable point of $u$, then $u(u^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$. From condition (v)(1), we know $w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0$, and thus it follows from Theorem 4.5 (i), (ii) that $(u \nabla w)'((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = 0$.

Suppose that $u^-(\alpha)$ is a non-continuous point of $u$. Note that $u(u^-(\alpha)) \geq \alpha$ and that $\lim_{y \to u^-(\alpha)-} u(y) \leq \alpha$. We split the proof into three situations.

Situation (A2a) $u(u^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$.

Observe that $u^-(\alpha)$ is also a non-differentiable point, so by conditions (v)(1), we know that $w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0$, and thus $(u \nabla w)'((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = 0$.

Situation (A2b) $u(u^-(\alpha)) := \delta > \alpha$ and $\lim_{y \to u^-(\alpha)-} u(y) := \beta < \alpha$.

Notice that $w(w^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$. Thus by Theorem 4.5 (iii), (iv), we know that $(u \nabla w)'((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = w'(w^-(\alpha))$.

Situation (A2c) $u(u^-(\alpha)) := \delta > \alpha$, and $\lim_{y \to u^-(\alpha)-} u(y) = \alpha$.

Note that $u^-(\alpha)$ is a non-continuous point, hence, by condition (v)(1), $w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0$, and thus by Theorem 4.1 (i), (ii), $(u \nabla w)'((u \nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = 0$.

Subcase (A3) $u \nabla w$ is differentiable at $(u \nabla w)^+_s(\alpha)$ when $\alpha < 1$. 
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If $u_s^-(\alpha)$ is a differentiable point of $u$, or if $u_s^-(\alpha)$ is a continuous but not-differentiable point of $u$, then proceed as the corresponding part of Subcase (A3) in Theorem 5.10, we can show that $u\nabla w$ is differentiable at $(u\nabla w)_s^-(\alpha)$.

If $u_s^-(\alpha)$ is a non-continuous point of $u$. Note that $u(u_s^-(\alpha)) \geq \alpha$ and that $\lim_{y \to u_s^-(\alpha)-} u(y) \leq \alpha$. We split the proof into three situations.

Situation (A3a) $u(u_s^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$.

Observe that $u_s^-(\alpha)$ is also a non-differentiable point, so by conditions (v)(1), we know that $w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0$, and hence, by Lemma 5.9, $w'(w_s^-(\alpha)) = 0$. Thus $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^-(\alpha)) = 0$).

Situation (A3b) $u(u_s^-(\alpha)) := \delta > \alpha$ and $\lim_{y \to u_s^-(\alpha)-} u(y) := \beta < \alpha$.

We can see that in this situation $u_s^-(\alpha) = u^-(\alpha)$. If $w_s^-(\alpha) = w^-(\alpha)$, then from $w(w^-(\alpha)) = \alpha$ and Theorem 4.5 (iii), (iv), we know that $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^-(\alpha)) = (u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^-(\alpha)) = w'(w^-(\alpha))$.

If $w^-(\alpha) < w_s^-(\alpha)$, then $w'(w_s^-(\alpha)) = 0$, and thus from Theorem 4.1 (iii), (iv) we know that $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^-(\alpha)) = 0$.

Situation (A3c) $u(u_s^-(\alpha)) := \delta > \alpha$ and $\lim_{y \to u_s^-(\alpha)-} u(y) = \alpha$.

Note that $u_s^-(\alpha)$ is a non-continuous point, hence, by condition (v)(1), $w'(w^-(\alpha)) = 0$, from Lemma 5.9, $w'(w_s^-(\alpha)) = 0$. Thus by Theorem 4.1 (iii), (iv), $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^-(\alpha)) = 0$.

Subcase (A7) $u\nabla w$ is differentiable at $(u\nabla w)_s^+(\alpha)$ when $\alpha < 1$.

If $u_s^+(\alpha)$ is a differentiable point of $u$, or $u_s^+(\alpha)$ is a continuous but not-differentiable point of $u$, then proceed as in the proof of Subcase (A7) in Theorem 5.10, we can prove that $u\nabla w$ is differentiable at $(u\nabla w)_s^+(\alpha)$.

If $u_s^+(\alpha)$ is a non-continuous point of $u$. Note that $u(u_s^+(\alpha)) \geq \alpha$ and that $\lim_{y \to u_s^+(\alpha)+} u(y) \leq \alpha$. We split the proof into three situations.

Situation (A7a) $u(u_s^+(\alpha)) = \alpha$.

Observe that $u_s^+(\alpha)$ is also a non-differentiable point, so by conditions (v)(2), we know that $w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0$, and it follows from Lemma 5.9 that $w'(w_s^+(\alpha)) = 0$. Thus, by Theorem 4.1 (vii), (viii), $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^+(\alpha)) = 0$.

Situation (A7b) $u(u_s^+(\alpha)) := \delta > \alpha$ and $\lim_{y \to u_s^+(\alpha)+} u(y) := \beta < \alpha$.

Notice that in this situation $u_s^+(\alpha) = u^+(\alpha)$. If $w_s^+(\alpha) = w^+(\alpha)$, then from $w(w^+(\alpha)) = \alpha$ and Theorem 4.6 (iii), (iv), we know that $(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)_s^+(\alpha)) = \alpha$.
\[(u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha))) = w'(w^+(\alpha)).\]

If \(w_s^+(\alpha) < w^+(\alpha)\), then \(w'(w_s^+(\alpha))) = 0\), and thus from Theorem 4.1 (vii), (viii) we know that \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+_s(\alpha))) = 0\).

Situation (A7c) \(u(u_s^+(\alpha)) : = \delta > \alpha\), and \(\lim_{y \to u_s^+(\alpha)+} u(y) = \alpha\).

Since \(u_s^+(\alpha)\) is a non-continuous point, by condition (v)(2), we know \(w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0\), by Lemma 5.9, \(w'(w_s^+(\alpha)) = 0\). Thus, by Theorem 4.1 (vii), (viii), \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+_s(\alpha))) = 0\).

Subcase (A8) \(u\nabla w\) is differentiable at \((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)\) when \(\alpha < 1\).

If \(u^+(\alpha)\) is a differentiable point of \(u\), or \(u^+(\alpha)\) is a continuous but not-differentiable point of \(u\), then proceed as in the proof of Subcase (A8) in Theorem 5.10, we can prove that \(u\nabla w\) is differentiable at \((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha)\).

If \(u^+(\alpha)\) is a non-continuous point of \(u\). Note that \(u(u^+(\alpha)) \geq \alpha\) and that \(\lim_{y \to u^+(\alpha)+} u(y) \leq \alpha\). We split the proof into three situations.

Situation (A8a) \(u(u^+(\alpha)) = \alpha\).

Observe that \(u^+(\alpha)\) is also a non-differentiable point, so by conditions (v)(2), we know that \(w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0\), and thus \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha))) = 0\).

Situation (A8b) \(u(u^+(\alpha)) : = \delta > \alpha\) and \(\lim_{y \to u^+(\alpha)+} u(y) : = \beta < \alpha\).

Notice that \(w(w^+(\alpha)) = \alpha\). Thus by Theorem 4.6 (iii), (iv), we know that \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha))) = w'(w^+(\alpha))\).

Situation (A8c) \(u(u^+(\alpha)) : = \delta > \alpha\), and \(\lim_{y \to u^+(\alpha)+} u(y) = \alpha\).

Note that \(u^+(\alpha)\) is a non-continuous point, hence, by condition (v)(2), \(w'(w^+(\alpha)) = 0\), and thus by Theorem 4.1 (v), (vi), \((u\nabla w)'((u\nabla w)^+(\alpha))) = 0\). \(\Box\)

**Remark 5.15** Suppose that \(u\) is a fuzzy number and that \(x \in (u^-(0), u^+(0))\) is a non-continuous point of \(u\). Set \(u(x) : = \alpha < 1\). Then it can be checked that

- if \(x < u^-(1)\), then \(x = u^-(\alpha)\);
- if \(x > u^+(1)\), then \(x = u^+(\alpha)\).

Actually, if \(x < u^-(1)\), then \(x \geq u^-(\alpha)\). Assume that \(x > u^-(\alpha)\), then we know that \(u(y) \equiv \alpha\) for all \(y \in [u^-(\alpha), x]\), thus \(u\) is left-continuous at \(x\). Since \(u\) is right-continuous on \((u^-(0), u^-(1))\), we know that \(u\) is continuous at \(x\), which is a contradiction. So \(x = u^-(\alpha)\). In a similar way, we can show that if \(x > u^+(1)\), then \(x = u^+(\alpha)\).

The following example shows that how to use the results in Theorem 5.14 to
construct smoother for a fuzzy number \( u \notin \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \).

**Example 5.16** Suppose that \( u \) is a fuzzy number defined by

\[
    u(t) = \begin{cases} 
        t - 1, & t \in [1, 2], \\
        -t + 3, & t \in [2, 2.5], \\
        -t + 2.8, & t \in (2.5, 2.8], \\
        0, & t \notin [1, 2.8].
    \end{cases}
\]

Then

\[
    [u]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
        [\alpha + 1, 3 - \alpha], & \alpha \in [0.5, 1], \\
        [\alpha + 1, 2.5], & \alpha \in [0.3, 0.5], \\
        [\alpha + 1, 2.8 - \alpha], & \alpha \in [0, 0.3].
    \end{cases}
\]

The figure of \( u \) is in Fig 11a. We can see that \( u \) is discontinuous at \( u^+(0.5) = 2.5 \). So \( u \notin \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \).

First let’s see can \( w_1 \) work as smoother for \( u \), where \( w_1 \) is defined by (2), i.e.

\[
    w_1(t) = \begin{cases} 
        1 - t^2, & \text{if } t \in [-1, 1], \\
        0, & \text{if } t \notin [-1, 1],
    \end{cases}
\]

Note that

\[
    [w_1]_\alpha = [-\sqrt{1 - \alpha}, \sqrt{1 - \alpha}]
\]

for all \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \), thus

\[
    [u \nabla w_1]_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
        [\alpha + 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, 3 - \alpha + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}], & \alpha \in [0.5, 1], \\
        [\alpha + 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, 2.5 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}], & \alpha \in [0.3, 0.5], \\
        [\alpha + 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}, 2.8 - \alpha + \sqrt{1 - \alpha}], & \alpha \in [0, 0.3].
    \end{cases}
\]

See Fig. 11b for the figure of \( u \nabla w_1 \). It is easy to find that \( u \nabla w_1 \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \). However \( u \nabla w_1 \) is not differentiable at 2.5 + \sqrt{0.5} and 2.5 + \sqrt{0.7}. So \( u \nabla w_1 \notin \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \). This means that \( w_1 \) is not a smoother of \( u \).

Now we use Theorem 5.14 to construct a smoother \( z \) for \( u \). Observe that the only non-differentiable point of \( u \) in \( (u^-(0), u^+(0)) \) is \( u^+(0.5) = 2.5 \), which is also the only non-continuous point of \( u \). Since \( u(2.5) = 0.5 \), then by condition (iv)(2),

\[
    z'(z^+(0.5)) = 0.
\]

Note that \( \lim_{y \to 0.5^+} u(y) = 0.3 \), it thus follows from condition (v)(2) that

\[
    z'(z^+(0.3)) = 0.
\]
Consider

\[ z(t) = \begin{cases}
1 - t^2/4, & t \in [-2, 0], \\
0.25 \cos(10t/3) + 0.75, & t \in [0, 0.3\pi], \\
0.4 + 0.1 \cos(10(t - 0.3\pi)), & t \in [0.3\pi, 0.4\pi], \\
0.3 - (t - 0.4\pi)^2, & t \in [0.4\pi, 0.4\pi + \sqrt{0.3}], \\
0, & t \notin [-2, 0.4\pi + \sqrt{0.3}].
\]

See Fig. 11c for the figure of \( z \). It can be checked that \( z \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \) and that

\[ z'(z^+(0.5)) = z'(0.3\pi) = 0, \]
\[ z'(z^+(0.3)) = z'(0.4\pi) = 0. \]

We can see that \( u \) and \( z \) also satisfy all other conditions in Theorem 5.14. Thus, by Theorem 5.14, \( z \) is a smoother of \( u \) which will be validated in the
following. Since

\[
[z]_\alpha = \begin{cases}
-2\sqrt{1 - \alpha}, & \alpha \in [0.5, 1), \\
-2\sqrt{1 - \alpha}, & \alpha \in [0.3, 0.5], \\
-2\sqrt{1 - \alpha}, & \alpha \in [0, 0.3],
\end{cases}
\]

we know

\[
[u \nabla z]_\alpha = \begin{cases}
-2\sqrt{1 - \alpha + \alpha + 1}, & \alpha \in [0.5, 1), \\
-2\sqrt{1 - \alpha + \alpha + 1}, & \alpha \in [0.3, 0.5], \\
-2\sqrt{1 - \alpha + \alpha + 1}, & \alpha \in [0, 0.3].
\end{cases}
\]

Thus

\[
(u \nabla z)(t) = \begin{cases}
t + 2(3 - t)^{0.5} - 3, & t \in [-1, 2], \\
f(t), & t \in [2, 0.3\pi + 2.5], \\
2/5 - \cos(10t - 25)/10, & t \in [0.3\pi + 2.5, 0.4\pi + 2.5], \\
0.4\pi - t + 0.5(4t - 1.6\pi - 9)^{0.5} + 2.3, & t \in [0.4\pi + 2.5, 0.4\pi + 2.8 + 0.3^{0.5}],
\end{cases}
\]

where \( f \) is the inverse function of \( 0.3 \arccos(4\alpha - 3) + 3 - \alpha \) when \( \alpha \in [0.5, 1] \).

See Fig. 11d for the figure of \( u \nabla z \).

It can be verified that \( u \nabla z \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \). In fact, it only need to show that \( u \nabla z \) is differentiable at points \( 2, 0.3\pi + 2.5, \) and \( 0.4\pi + 2.5 \). Use implicit differentiation to take \( f' \) as follows:

\[
f'(t) = \left( \frac{-1.2}{\sqrt{1 - (4\alpha(t) - 3)^2}} - 1 \right)^{-1}.
\]

Then

\[
(u \nabla z)'(2) = \frac{d}{dt}(1 + 0.5(3 - t)^{0.5} \cdot (-1) \cdot 2)|_{t=2} = 0,
\]

and hence

\[
(u \nabla z)'(2) = 0.
\]  \hfill (32)

Similarly, it can be computed that

\[
(u \nabla z)'(0.3\pi + 2.5) = 0, \quad \hfill (33)
\]

\[
(u \nabla z)'(0.4\pi + 2.5) = 0. \quad \hfill (34)
\]
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It now follows from (32), (33), and (34) that \( u \nabla z \) is differentiable on \((-1, 0.4\pi + 2.8 + 0.3^{0.5})\), i.e. \( u \nabla z \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \). This means that \( z \) is a smoother of \( u \).

From this example we can see how conditions (iv) and (v) take effect.

In Example 5.16, to construct a smoother \( z \) for fuzzy number \( u \). By Theorem 5.14, it first gives some requirements which ensure the constructed fuzzy number \( z \) to be a smoother for \( u \). Then, it uses polynomial functions and cosine functions to construct a concrete smoother \( z \) which satisfies these requirements.

In a similar way, we can construct a smoother \( v \) for \( u \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \) which satisfies the condition that the number of non-differentiable points of \( u \) is finite. Note that a non-continuous point is also a non-differentiable point, this condition means that the number of non-continuous points and the number of continuous but non-differentiable points are both finite. First, it finds all the non-continuous points and continuous but non-differentiable points in \( (u^-(0), u^+(0)) \). Then, by conditions (i)-(v) of Theorem 5.14, we give some requirements that \( v \) need to satisfy. Finally, we use polynomial functions, sine functions and cosine functions to construct a concrete fuzzy number \( v \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \) which meets these requirements. From Theorem 5.14, we know that \( v \) is a smoother of \( u \). Since the number of non-differentiable points of \( u \) is finite, this procedure can be completed in finite steps.

Now we discuss how to construct a smooth fuzzy numbers sequence to approximate a fuzzy number in \( \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \). In fact, it can proceed as in the construction of smooth fuzzy numbers sequences for fuzzy numbers in \( \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \).

Suppose that \( v \) is a smoother for \( u \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R}) \). Take \( v_p \) defined as in (26), i.e.

\[
v_p = p \cdot v,
\]

where \( p > 0 \) is a real number. Then it follows from eqs. (27)–(31) and Theorem 5.14 that \( v \) is a smoother of \( u \) is equivalent to that \( v_p, p > 0 \), are smoothers of \( u \). Thus we know that \( v_p, p > 0 \), are also smoothers of \( u \) and then \( u \nabla v_p \in \mathcal{F}_D(\mathbb{R}) \).

Since

\[
d_\infty(u \nabla v_p, u) \leq p(v^+ - v^-),
\]

it follows that \( u \nabla v_p \) converges to \( u \) according to \( d_\infty \) metric as \( p \to 0 \). So we have the following statement which shows that, by using the convolution method, it can produce a smooth approximation for an arbitrary fuzzy number with finite non-differentiable points.

**Theorem 5.17** Suppose that \( u \) is a fuzzy number. If the number of non-differentiable points of \( u \) is finite, then there exist smoothers of \( u \). Moreover, if \( v \) is a smoother of \( u \), then \( p \cdot v, p > 0 \), are also smoothers of \( u \), and that
\{u\nabla (p \cdot v)\} converges to u according to the supremum metric \(d_\infty\) as \(p \to 0\).

6 Properties of the approximations generated by the convolution method

In this section, it discusses the properties of the approximations generated by the convolution method.

We first affirm that the convolution method can produce smooth approximations which preserve the core, where an approximation \(\{v_n\}\) of a fuzzy number \(u\) preserves the core means that \(\text{Core}(v_n) = \text{Core}(u)\) for all \(n\).

In fact, if a smoother \(v\) of \(u\) satisfies the condition \([v]_1 = \{0\}\), then \([p \cdot v]_1 = \{0\}\), and thus the corresponding approximation \(\{u\nabla (1/n \cdot v), n = 1, 2, \ldots\}\) for the fuzzy number \(u\) preserves the core.

It is easy to select a smoother \(v\) which satisfies the condition \([v]_1 = \{0\}\). Suppose that \(w\) is a smoother of a fuzzy number \(u\). Then define \(v\) by

\[ [v]_\alpha = [w^-(\alpha) - w^-(1), w^+(\alpha) - w^+(1)] \]

for all \(\alpha \in [0, 1]\). It can be check that \([v]_1 = \{0\}\), and that, from Theorems 5.1, 5.10, 5.14, \(v\) is also a smoother for \(u\).

We also find that the convolution method can generate Lipschitz and smooth approximation, where a Lipschitz approximation is a approximation which is constructed by Lipschitz fuzzy numbers. To show this assertion, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 Suppose that \(u\) is a fuzzy number. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) \(u\) is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant \(K\).

(ii) \(|\alpha - \beta| \leq K|u^-(\alpha) - u^-(\beta)|\) for all \(\alpha, \beta \in [u(u^-(0)), 1]\), and \(|\gamma - \delta| \leq K|u^+(\gamma) - u^+(\delta)|\) for all \(\gamma, \delta\) in \([u(u^+(0)), 1]\).

(iii) \(|\alpha - \beta| \leq K|u^+_s(\alpha) - u^-(\beta)|\) for all \(\alpha, \beta \in [u(u^-(0)), 1]\), and \(|\gamma - \delta| \leq K|u^+_s(\gamma) - u^+(\delta)|\) for all \(\gamma, \delta\) in \([u(u^+(0)), 1]\).

Proof (i)⇒(ii). If \(u\) is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant \(K\), then \(u \in \mathcal{F}_C(\mathbb{R})\). Given \(\alpha, \beta \in [u(u^-(0)), 1]\), by Corollary 3.4, \(u(u^-(\alpha)) = \alpha\) and \(u(u^-(\beta)) = \beta\). Thus it follows from \(u\) is Lipschitz that

\[ |\alpha - \beta| \leq K|u^-(\alpha) - u^-(\beta)|. \]

Similarly, we can prove that \(|\gamma - \delta| \leq K|u^+(\gamma) - u^+(\delta)|\) for all \(\gamma, \delta\) in \([u(u^+(0)), 1]\).
Given $\alpha, \beta \in [u(0), 1]$, if $\beta \leq \alpha$, then
\[ |\alpha - \beta| \leq K|u^- (\alpha) - u^- (\beta)| \leq K|u^- (\alpha) - u^- (\beta)|. \]

If $\beta > \alpha$, note that $u^- (\alpha) = \lim_{\lambda \to \alpha+} u^- (\lambda)$, since
\[ |\beta - \lambda| \leq K|u^- (\beta) - u^- (\lambda)|, \]
let $\lambda \to \alpha+$, then we obtain that
\[ |\beta - \alpha| \leq K|u^- (\beta) - u^- (\alpha)|. \]

Similarly, we can prove that $|\gamma - \delta| \leq K|u^+ (\gamma) - u^+ (\delta)|$ for all $\gamma, \delta$ in $[u(0), 1]$. 

(iii)$\Rightarrow$(i). Given $x, y \in [u]$, put $u(x) = \alpha$ and $u(y) = \beta$. Assume that $\alpha < \beta$ with no loss of generality. Then we have
\[ |x - y| \geq \min\{|u^- (\alpha) - u^- (\beta)|, |u^+ (\alpha) - u^+ (\beta)|\}. \]

From statement (iii),
\[ |\alpha - \beta| \leq K|u^- (\alpha) - u^- (\beta)|, \]
\[ |\alpha - \beta| \leq K|u^+ (\alpha) - u^+ (\beta)|, \]
and thus
\[ |u(x) - u(y)| = |\alpha - \beta| \leq K|x - y|. \]
This means that $u$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $K$.  

The following theorem shows that the convolution transform can retain the Lipschitz property under an assumption which is general for smoothers.

**Theorem 6.2** Suppose that $u$ is a fuzzy number, and that $v$ is a Lipschitz fuzzy number with Lipschitz constant $K$. If $v(v^-(0)) \leq u(u^-(0))$ and $v(v^+(0)) \leq u(u^+(0))$, then $u \nabla v$ is also a Lipschitz fuzzy number.

**Proof** Note that, by Lemma 3.11,
\[ (u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(0)) = u(u^- (0)) \land v(v^- (0)) = v(v^- (0)). \]

Given $\alpha, \beta$ in $[(u \nabla v)((u \nabla v)^-(0)], 1]$, then $\alpha, \beta$ is also in $[v(v^- (0)), 1]$. Since $v$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $K$, it follows from Lemma 6.1 (ii) that
\[ |\alpha - \beta| \leq K|v^- (\alpha) - v^- (\beta)| \leq K|(u \nabla v)^-(\alpha) - (u \nabla v)^-(\beta)|. \]

Similarly, we can obtain that
\[ |\gamma - \delta| \leq K|v^+ (\gamma) - v^+ (\delta)| \leq K|(u \nabla v)^+(\gamma) - (u \nabla v)^+(\delta)| \]
for all $\gamma, \delta \in [(u\nabla v)((u\nabla v)^+ (0)), 1]$. So $u\nabla v$ is also Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $K$. 

Since we use polynomial functions, sine functions and cosine functions to construct smoothers, it is easy to make the smoothers to be a Lipschitz fuzzy number. Note that in the construction process of a smoother, it requires that $v(v^-(0)) = u(u^-(0))$ and $v(v^+(0)) = u(u^+(0))$, where $u$ is the original fuzzy number and $v$ is its smoother (see condition (i)). Thus, by Theorems 5.17 and 6.2, we can produce a Lipschitz and smooth approximation for a fuzzy number with finite non-differentiable points. From the above discussions, we can further ensure this Lipschitz and smooth approximation preserves the core at the same time.

**Remark 6.3** From Theorems 4.1, 4.5 and 4.6, we know that if $v$ is a smoother for $u \in F(\mathbb{R})$, then

\[v'(v^-(\alpha)) \geq (u\nabla v)'(u\nabla v)^-(\alpha),
\]

\[v'(v^+(\alpha)) \geq (u\nabla v)'(u\nabla v)^+(\alpha),
\]

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. This follows immediately that if a smoother $v$ of $u$ is Lipschitz, then $u\nabla v$ is also Lipschitz.

### 7 Conclusions

This paper discusses how to smooth fuzzy numbers and then construct smooth approximations for fuzzy numbers by using the convolution method. The main contents are illustrated in the following.

1. It shows that how to use the convolution method to produce smooth approximations for fuzzy numbers which have finite non-differentiable points. This type of fuzzy numbers are quite general in real world applications.

2. It further points out that the convolution method can generate smooth and Lipschitz approximations which preserve the core at the same time.

3. The constructing of smoothers is the key step in the construction processes of approximations in the above results. Theorems 5.1, 5.10 and 5.14 provide principles for constructing smoothers, therein conditions are given to ensure that the constructed fuzzy numbers are smoothers for a given type of fuzzy numbers. These conditions are general. In fact, by the conditions in Theorem 5.1, we can judge that the classes of fuzzy numbers $\{w_p\}$ and $\{Z_f\}$ introduced in [26, 27] are smoothers for fuzzy numbers in $F_{NC}(\mathbb{R})$. See Remark 5.6 for details.
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